

EPA-906/9-76-002

N-96-01
II-A-38

**ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE ASSESSMENT
LAWTON, OKLAHOMA**



**APRIL, 1976
FINAL REPORT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION VI
DALLAS, TEXAS 75270**

Document is available to the public
in limited quantities from EPA,
Forms and Publications Center,
Grand Slam Bldg., Page Road, Interstate 40,
Durham, North Carolina and from
National Technical Information Service,
Springfield, Virginia.

NTIS Stock No. PB 263 135
Price \$8.00
as of 11/8/79
Jim

EPA-906/9-76-002

ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE ASSESSMENT
LAWTON, OKLAHOMA

APRIL 1976

Robert M. LaBreche

Michael L. Mendias

Prepared for
City of Lawton
Lawton, Oklahoma 73501

In Cooperation With
U.S. EPA, Region VI
Air and Hazardous Materials Division
Dallas, Texas 75270

DISCLAIMER STATEMENT

This report has been reviewed by Region VI, EPA, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

PREFACE

This report presents a methodology which communities can use to adequately assess local environmental noise simply and inexpensively. The methodology is a refinement of that reported and validated in Environmental Noise Assessment-Waco, Texas Metropolitan Area (U.S. EPA, Region VI, April 5, 1974). It involves only simple instruments available on loan from EPA and can be conducted successfully by personnel inexperienced in noise measurements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	3
III. Discussion.....	5
IV. Appendices	
A. Appendix A	
1. Site Descriptions and Noise Data Summaries.....	A - 1
2. Intrusive Noise Source Data Summary.....	A -80
B. Appendix B	
1. Description of the City of Lawton, Oklahoma.....	B - 2
C. Appendix C	
1. Operator's Instruction Sheet.....	C -2
2. Operator's Check List.....	C -7
3. Operator's Work Schedules.....	C - 8
4. Supervisor's and Clerk's Instruction Sheet.....	C -11
D. Appendix D	
1. Data Analysis Computer Program.....	D - 5
2. Sample print out.....	D -13
E. Appendix E	
1. Weather Statistics.....	E - 2
2. Artillery Firing Schedule.....	E -11
V. Glossary of Terms.....	Glossary I

INTRODUCTION

This community noise assessment resulted from a request of the Mayor of Lawton, Oklahoma, to the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency dated February 28, 1975. He requested technical assistance to conduct a noise study for the area north of the Lawton Municipal Airfield. This request was subsequently expanded to encompass the entire community after consultation between Lawton and the EPA.

The EPA is authorized to provide such technical assistance to local governments by Section 14 of the Noise Control Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-574) as part of its broad mission to promote an environment for all Americans free from noise that jeopardizes their health or welfare.

Interest in a local noise assessment in Lawton was the result of several recent actions by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development based upon predicted noise levels in the community. Some of these actions had potentially adverse effects upon the City of Lawton. Thus, one purpose of this assessment was to document actual noise levels in the city.

The specific objectives of this assessment were:

- a. To determine by actual measurement if there was a noise problem in the area north of the Lawton Municipal Airfield.
- b. To determine if there was a noise problem in other areas of the city.
- c. To provide the City Council with information for their evaluation of the need for noise control measures.
- d. To provide the Lawton Metropolitan Area Planning Commission with environmental data for use in land use planning.

- e. To provide a basis of appeal, where appropriate, from restrictions imposed by other agencies based on theoretical studies.
- f. To assist the public in understanding the sources of environmental noise, its proper measurement, its effect and noise control possibilities.
- g. To train City employees in noise monitoring techniques.
- h. To establish a base for comparison with future studies.

The assessment was conducted during the summer of 1975, primarily between June 20 and 23. The EPA provided training and equipment for the assessment. The City of Lawton Planning Department provided administration, and obtained needed personnel from other city departments and a summer youth hire program.

Portions of Lawton are subjected to impulsive sounds caused by artillery firing at Fort Sill adjacent to the City. Since measuring the level and assessing the effects of such impulsive sounds require instruments and procedures incompatible with the requirements for more conventional sounds, no measures of artillery noise levels are included in this report. Where artillery noise was noted by the operators of noise monitoring stations, only the number of loud discharges heard is reported. This gives some indication of the significance of artillery noise at that location.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The environmental noise data collected in this assessment indicate that:

1. Few locations within the City of Lawton are presently adversely impacted by noise.

This can be preserved and enhanced as the city grows by careful land use planning and the establishment of effective controls for significant sources of environmental noise.

2. There are probable adverse noise impacts immediately north of the Lawton Municipal Airport and immediately south of the Fort Sill Airfield.

A more extensive assessment should be made for these areas including more rigorous measures of environmental noise; exploration of potential controls on aircraft noise; and consideration of other factors such as safety, costs, compatible land use and community objectives.

3. Small planes, automobiles, and helicopters are the most prevalent sources of obtrusive noise in Lawton and jet planes are the most intense. Generally, obtrusive noises occur infrequently in Lawton.

The noise of automobiles and other surface transportation equipment can be effectively controlled by establishing decibel limits for vehicle noise emissions. Control of aircraft noise is more

complex but establishing compatible land use controls, minimum aircraft altitudes, flight tracks, and operating hours can help alleviate aircraft noise problems.

4. Artillery discharges are clearly audible at several locations within the City of Lawton.


The effect of these sounds can be accurately assessed only by rigorous and sophisticated measures and/or a social survey of those subjected to the noise.

DISCUSSION

Procedure


The assessment involved measuring and classifying environmental noise at each of eleven locations within the City of Lawton. The measurement sites were selected by city personnel with the concurrence of EPA. They constitute a representative sampling of the entire city (see map, Fig. 1). The sites were located in relatively open areas away from the immediate vicinity of sources of environmental noises such as roadways and buildings. Thus, the data reflect the sound prevailing over an extended area rather than merely sound generated at a specific location. Detailed descriptions of each site are given in Appendix A1. Sound measurements were made by observers using compact, battery powered sound level meters (Pulsar Model 40, type 2), set to 'A' weighting which most accurately correlates with human response to noise. The operators made measurements at 15 second intervals throughout most of each daylight hour, for two days per site, weather permitting. The data for each hour were recorded on a data form similar to that shown in Figure 2. On the form, each entry mark indicates one of the measurements made at 15 second intervals during that hour. Above 70 dBA, rather than a simple mark, the operator used a code letter to identify the type of noise source producing the measured sound. The code letters are indicated on the form.

At the end of each hour, the operator indicated his opinion of the noise environment during that hour on the right hand of the form. He described it both in terms of its noisiness and in terms of its acceptability by marking one of the categories listed. Copies of the detailed instructions given each operator, monitoring schedules, etc. are included in Appendix C.



COMMUNITY NOISE ASSESSMENT DATA SHEET


COMMUNITY LAWTON



STATION NO. 3 METER CHECK _____
 OPERATOR Smith BATTERY OK REPLACED
 DATE 24 June 1975 SETTINGS A FAST
 DAY S M W T F S CALIBRATION SET TO 94.0 dB
 TIME 20:00 TO 21:00 END READS 94.2 dB

TRANSPORTATION	MACHINERY	DESCRIPTION
E _____ EMER. VEH.	C _____ CONSTRUCTION EQUIP.	_____ V. QUIET
P <u>2</u> SMALL PLANE	Y _____ YARD MAINT. EQUIP.	_____ QUIET
J _____ JET	F _____ FACTORY EQUIP.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M. NOISY
H <u>4</u> HELICOPTER	H _____ HOUSEHOLD EQUIP.	_____ NOISY
R _____ RAILROAD		_____ V. NOISY
T _____ TRUCK		
A <u>1</u> AUTO	OTHER	EVALUATION
B _____ BUS	D _____ DOG	_____ V. ACCEPT.
M <u>2</u> MOTORCYCLE	L _____ LOUD SPEAKERS	_____ ACCEPT.
W _____ WATER CRAFT		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M. UNACCEPT.
V _____ OFF RD. VEH.		_____ UNACCEPT.
		_____ V. UNACCEPT.

7



TOTAL NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES PER dB LEVEL


The data obtained were reduced by computer after being transferred to optical scanning coding forms. The computer statistically analyzed each hour's data and calculated the corresponding percentile sound levels (L_n), and the energy equivalent average sound level (L_{eq}), (see Glossary). It also made similar calculations for the combined daily data for each site and calculated the corresponding day-night average sound level (L_{dn}). Appendix D contains a sample data form, the computer program, and a sample printout.

Results

The measured environmental noise levels are summarized in Figure 3. The Figure shows the mean equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) calculated from all of the measurements made at each specified site. The 95 percent confidence intervals for those means based on the observed variance in daily L_{eq} at each site are indicated. The data are compared with the levels identified in the EPA Report "Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety" (EPA 550/9-74-004, March 1974; this document provides information only, it is not a standard, specification or regulation). It is clear that only sites three and four, those nearest the two airfields, have probable adverse noise impacts.

The Figure also shows the tenth percentile noise level (L_{10}) for the combined data from each site. This is included for comparison with the equivalent sound levels (L_{eq}). In a normal noise environment, L_{10} has generally about the same value as L_{eq} , as is the case at most of the sites. This indicates that the L_{eq} value reflects the composite sound produced by a multiplicity of sound sources. However, when L_{eq} significantly exceeds L_{10} as at sites three and four, relatively few, very intense sound sources are dominating the calculated L_{eq} value. In these cases, L_{10} gives a crude measure of the potential L_{eq} if these few, intermittent noises were adequately controlled. Thus, for example, site four is generally a quiet location except for infrequent but very intense interruptions by noise from jet aircraft. Detailed breakdowns of the data are given with the detailed descriptions of each site in Appendix A1.

DATA SUMMARY
MEAN SOUND LEVELS FOR EACH SITE



LEGEND



MEAN EQUIVALENT SOUND LEVEL | L_{EQ} | WITH SITE NUMBER AND 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR DAILY VALUES



TENTH PERCENTILE SOUND LEVEL | L_{10}

SITES:

- | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. KINGSBRIAR | 7. FLOYD |
| 2. SULLIVAN VILLAGE | 8. EISENHOWER |
| 3. HENRY POST | 9. HUNTER HILLS |
| 4. MUNICIPAL AIRPORT | 10. WOODLAND PARK |
| 5. CACHE ROAD | 11. CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT |
| 6. UNIVERSITY | |

FIGURE 3

Figure 4 summarizes the observers' opinions regarding the noise environment at the sites they operated. On the average, observers felt their location was quiet about seventy percent of the time and that it was acceptable about eighty percent of the time. These data pool the opinions at all eleven locations. A valid comparison of the opinions at each site is not possible since the differences between sites are confounded with the differences between observer's judgment. That is, since each observer did not monitor each site, differences in the opinions at the various locations reflect not only the differences in environmental noise between locations but also the differences in judgment between the observers at those locations. Avoiding this confounding of data would have required prohibitively complex logistics for an assessment of this size.

Figure 5 summarizes all the information gathered regarding sources producing intrusive environmental noise. The figure shows that small planes, automobiles, and helicopters are the most prevalent sources of intrusive noise. At higher levels of noise, jet aircraft dominate.

The relative areas in the figure give a rough estimate of the total sound energy produced by the various source categories. The two circles are proportioned to facilitate this estimate. The smaller circle, (observed sources over 80 dBA) although involving only about ten percent of the sources, has an area one-fourth of the larger since these sources are two to three times more intense than the others.

SUMMARY OF NOISE RATINGS
ALL SITES-ALL DAYS COMBINED





FIGURE 4
12

SOURCES OF INTRUSIVE NOISE
RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS

OVER 70 dBA
228 SOURCES



OVER 80 dBA
24 SOURCES




FIGURE 5

In general, these intrusive noises were infrequent, occurring typically less than twice in three hours. However, at the Henry Post site (No. 3) 70 dBA was exceeded on the average over twice in one hour, three times the average, primarily due to small planes, helicopters, and automobiles. Detailed breakdowns of the source observations at each site are included in the details of Appendix A 1 and are summarized in Appendix A 2.

Another source category of interest in this assessment was artillery firing. At least seventy-five discharges were noted by the observers at the various sites. However, since more sophisticated instruments are required for rigorous measurement of impulsive sound, artillery noise is not reflected in the values of the calculated equivalent sound level (L_{eq}). A schedule of firing activity at Fort Sill during the assessment is included in Appendix E 2. A record of the artillery noise noted at each site is included in the details of Appendix A 1.

APPENDIX A

AI. Site Descriptions and Noise

Data Summaries

The appendix contains the details of the data for each site. The information for each is presented in the same pattern.

First, a description of the site and its environment is given including population statistics trends, traffic statistics and projections, and descriptions of local land use and traffic mix. These are supplemented by a land use map of the environment and an aerial photograph and map of the site proper.

Second, the periods of operation at the site are summarized.

Third, the sources of noise observed at the site are described. Two categories are used, typical sources and intrusive sources. The former refer to those sources which the observers at each site indicated were characteristic of the environmental sounds heard there. Generally these are the persistent sources which establish the steady, background noise. The intrusive sources refer to those sources conspicuously louder than the ambient background. That is, those sounds which draw attention to themselves. For consistency, only those sources producing sound at the site in excess of 70 dBA were listed in this category, although some sounds below that level could also be intrusive, depending upon their character. These intrusive sources were identified by the observers using the letter codes discussed in the body of this report. They are reported by source type, intensity range, and number observed. A summary of all intrusive source data for each site is given in Appendix A2.

Finally, hourly and daily summaries of the measured environmental noise levels and a brief evaluation of the results are given. The hourly summaries are plots of the calculated equivalent sound levels (L_{eq}) by the hour for each site and day. They give the temporal variation of environmental sound observed at the site that day. At some sites, a pattern can be seen reflecting increased noise due to commuter traffic in the morning and evening rush hours. However, a surprising number of sites showed no discernible temporal pattern. In addition to the hourly L_{eq} values, statistical percentile levels of the measured sound are given for each hour - L_{max} , L_{10} , L_{50} . These are respectively, the highest sound levels measured during the hour, the level above which sounds occurred only ten percent of the time, and the average numerical level of all sound measurements that hour. The latter, of course, differs from L_{eq} which is an average of sound energies rather than an average of sound levels. (See Glossary).

The daily summaries are plots of the statistical distribution of all the measurements at that site that day, and also a tabulation of the daily L_{eq} values. The plots indicate the percentage of time the sound at the site was at or above a particular level. For example, if the plot indicates 54 dBA at the twentieth noise level percentile, then twenty percent of the time sound at that site that day was at or above 54 dBA, and eighty percent of the time below 54 dBA.

The brief evaluations compare the daily L_{eq} levels at the site with those levels published in the EPA "Levels" document mentioned in the body of this report. Also, an estimate of citizens attitudes toward these levels is given

based on the contents of that document. Finally, the effects of projected changes in population and traffic volume and any other local factors are assessed.

NO. 1 KINGSBRIAR

I. Site Description

A. <u>Population:</u>	1972 -	0
(Vicinity Map)	1975 -	15
	1995 -	300

B. Land Use

This is an undeveloped area. A small portion of it has been platted for residential development. It is expected that it will be much more fully developed by 1995. At the time of the assessment only three homes had been completed and occupied. It is approximately 1100 yards from the nearest field artillery firing point at Fort Sill.

C. Traffic

Traffic Counts:	<u>Map Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	I	513	2850

II. Operations

This site was occupied from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 21 and Tuesday, June 24. This was the only site where thunderstorms did not interrupt operations.

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles, helicopters

Secondary: Birds, artillery

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

Small planes and helicopters were the most prevalent sources of intrusive environmental noises. However, there was no indication of an unusually high intensity or incidence rate for these sources relative to the other sites. The average incidence rate for all sounds above 70 dBA at this site was one every two hours.

The sources noted during the 32 hours this site was operated are tabulated below:


<u>Source</u>	<u>Number over 70 dBA</u>
Small planes	6
Helicopters	5
Automobiles	3
Motorcycles	1
Household equipment	<u>1</u>
Total	16

At this site no other sources were noted and no sources exceeded 80 dBA.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 55 and 52 dBA indicate little probability of an adverse noise impact existing at this site. At these levels of environmental noise, less than ten percent of the population would probably be highly annoyed by noise.

The projected increases in traffic volume and population will probably increase these noise levels to that of similarly developed neighborhoods.



FORT SILL MILITARY RESERVATION

NE FLOWER MOUND ROAD

STATION

KINGSBRIAR DR

KINGSBRIAR PL

51ST ST

KINGSBRIAR CIR


VICINITY MAP

LAND USE

- LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- COMMERCIAL
- VACANT
- HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- PUBLIC FACILITY
- INDUSTRIAL



KB SITE # 1



KB SITE # 1



AERIAL PHOTO





SITE # 1

SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-1 FOR JUNE 21, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 56$ $L_{50} = 47$
 $L_{90} = 42$ $L_{EQ} = 55$ $L_{DN} = 55$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION


HOURLY INFORMATION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-1 FOR JUNE 24, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 79$ $L_{10} = 53$ $L_{50} = 46$
 $L_{90} = 41$ $L_{EQ} = 52$ $L_{DN} = 53$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION



NO. 2 SULLIVAN VILLAGE

I. Site Description

<u>Population:</u>	1972 -	592
(Vicinity Map)	1975 -	676
	1995 -	830

B. Land Use

This is a partially developed area which is essentially residential with amenities such as a park, school and church nearby.

C. Traffic

Normal residential, few trucks and no buses in the vicinity of the site.

<u>Traffic Counts</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
	1	302	9600
	2	4838	17600

II. Operations

On Sunday, June 22, this site was rained out from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. and operated from 11:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.. On Monday, June 23, it operated from 6:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. , was rained out until 6:00 p.m. and then operated until 10:00 p.m.. On Wednesday, July 30, a one hour check was run from 4:00 to 5:00 p.m..

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles, helicopters, planes

Secondary: Construction, dogs

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

Small planes were the most prevalent source of intrusive noise at this site. However, there was no indication of an unusually high incidence rate or intensity for any sources relative to other sites. The average incidence rate for all sounds above 70 dBA at this site was less than one every two hours.


The sources noted during the 24 hours this site operated are tabulated below.

<u>Source</u>	<u>Number over 70 dBA</u>
Small planes	5
Automobiles	1
Motorcycles	2
Construction equipment	<u>1</u>
Total	9

At this site no other sources were noted and no sources exceeded 80 dBA.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 47 and 51 dBA indicate little probability of an adverse noise impact existing at this site. Probably, at these environmental noise levels, no one would be highly annoyed by noise. The projected population growth of about 20 percent and traffic growth of about 400 percent would probably raise the noise levels, but not result in adverse noise impacts.




VICINITY MAP

LAND USE

- | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------|--|------------|
| | LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | | COMMERCIAL | | VACANT |
| | HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | | PUBLIC FACILITY | | INDUSTRIAL |



SV SITE # 2



SV SITE # 2



AERIAL PHOTO





SITE # 2

SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-2 FOR JUNE 22, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 67$ $L_{10} = 49$ $L_{50} = 44$
 $L_{90} = 41$ $L_{EQ} = 47$ $L_{DN} = 47$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION





4-14

SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-2 FOR JUNE 23, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 77$ $L_{10} = 51$ $L_{50} = 43$
 $L_{90} = 41$ $L_{EQ} = 51$ $L_{DH} = 51$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION


HOURLY INFORMATION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-2 FOR JULY 30, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 55$ $L_{10} = 46$ $L_{50} = 43$
 $L_{90} = 41$ $L_{EQ} = 44$ $L_{DN} = 44$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION



NO. 3 HENRY POST

I. Site Description

A. <u>Population:</u>	1972 -	511
(Vicinity Map)	1975 -	505
	1995 -	1028

B. Land Use

This site is in a basically residential neighborhood. There are houses in the immediate vicinity. Highland Cemetery is approximately 250 feet to the south and a large church the same distance to the north east. The southern end of the Henry Post Airfield runway is approximately 1,000 yards to the north.

C. Traffic

Normal residential traffic in the immediate vicinity of the site. Much heavier major arterial traffic 1,000 feet west of the site.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	20,912	18,000
	2	3,053	19,000
	3	17,441	9,300
	4	20,453	16,800
	5	NA	5,000

II. Operations

This site operated from 6:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 21, at which time it was rained out. It operated again from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Tuesday, June 24.

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles, yard work, small planes

Secondary: Helicopters, motorcycles, household

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

Small planes, helicopters, automobiles, and jets were the most prevalent sources of intrusive noises at this site. All had unusually high incident rates compared to other sites, and the jets produced unusually intense noise levels. The average incidence rate for sounds above 70 dBA was over two per hour. Sound levels from jets frequently exceeded 80 dBA and occasionally exceeded 90 dBA.


The sources noted during the 30 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.

<u>Source</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>	<u>Over 90 dBA</u>
Jets	8	7	2
Small planes	19	1	0
Helicopters	17	1	0
Automobiles	15	0	0
Motorcycles	5	0	0
Trucks	2	0	0
Railroads	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	67	9	2

Artillery noise was noted four times at this site.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 59 and 63 dBA noted at this site indicate a probable adverse noise impact. At these levels, probably over 20 percent of the people are highly annoyed by noise. The noise probably interferes with outdoor activities and also with indoor activities in buildings not properly designed to exclude exterior noise. The projected changes in population and traffic probably would have little effect on noise at this site.



VICINITY MAP


LAND USE

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | VACANT |
| HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | PUBLIC FACILITY | INDUSTRIAL |




HP SITE # 3

A - 21



HP SITE # 3



AERIAL PHOTO




SITE # 3


SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-3 FOR JUNE 21, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 91$ $L_{10} = 55$ $L_{50} = 50$
 $L_{90} = 44$ $L_{EQ} = 59$ $L_{DII} = 59$

HOURLY INFORMATION




DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-3 FOR JUNE 24, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 95$ $L_{10} = 57$ $L_{50} = 50$
 $L_{90} = 45$ $L_{EQ} = 63$ $L_{DN} = 63$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION



NO. 4 MUNICIPAL AIRPORT

I. Site Description

A. <u>Population:</u>	1972 -	1581
(Vicinity Map)	1975 -	1348
	1995 -	1100

B. Land Use

This site is in the center of a quarter section that is predominantly residential with many vacant lots. The north end of the principal runway of Lawton Municipal Airport is eight-tenths of one mile south of the site which is directly under the north-south landing approach. FHA has projected an IIS CNR contour through the area. There is some light industrial (warehouses) some 800 feet to the north and west of the site.

C. Traffic

There is light residential traffic in the immediate vicinity, and heavy major arterial traffic 1500 feet north of the site.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	1562	3100
	2	1034	5200
	3	4786	9200
	4	NA	3500

II. Operations

This site was scheduled for 24 hour operation on Sunday, June 22, and Monday, June 23. It was rained out from 1:00 a.m. to 8:00 a.m. on Sunday and from 3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Monday. A check operation

was run from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Thursday, July 3, a period which included aircarrier operations scheduled daily.

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles, Dogs, Light planes

Secondary: Loudspeakers, jets, household

Intrusive Noise Sources

Jets, small planes, and automobiles were the most prevalent sources of intrusive noises. While there was no indication of an unusually high incidence rate for any of these sources relative to other sites, the intensity of sound produced by jet aircraft was extremely high, frequently exceeding 90 dBA and occasionally 100 dBA. The average incidence rate of all sounds exceeding 70 dBA at this site was about two every three hours.

The sources noted during the 38 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.


<u>Source</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>	<u>Over 90 dBA</u>
Jets	7	5	5
Small Planes	8	3	0
Helicopters	2	0	0
Automobiles	4	1	0
Trucks	1	0	0
Household Equipment	1	0	0
Dogs	2	0	0
Loudspeakers	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	26	9	5

Artillery was noted at this site six times.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 64, 69, and 70 dBA noted at this site indicate a probable adverse noise impact exists. These high levels are due almost entirely to the noise of a few jet aircraft. The levels correspond approximately with CNR values between 100 and 105 which are less than the 115 predicted, but are based on limited data and do not reflect the effect of any forecasted expansion of airport operations. For example, a doubling of current jet operations or the introduction of noisier jets could easily raise these values three to five decibels.

At the observed levels, probably over 30 per cent of the people are highly annoyed by noise. The noise probably interferes with outdoor activities and also with indoor activities in buildings not properly designed to exclude exterior noise. The projected changes in population and vehicular traffic would have little effect on the noise at this site since it is dominated by the sound of aircraft operations.



VICINITY MAP


LAND USE

- LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
- COMMERCIAL
- PUBLIC FACILITY
- VACANT
- INDUSTRIAL

MA SITE # 4



A - 28



AERIAL PHOTO





SITE # 4

SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-4 FOR JUNE 22, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 110$ $L_{10} = 51$ $L_{50} = 46$
 $L_{90} = 42$ $L_{EQ} = 69$ $L_{DN} = 69$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-4 FOR JUNE 23, 1975


DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 99$ $L_{10} = 52$ $L_{50} = 46$

$L_{90} = 42$ $L_{EQ} = 64$ $L_{DN} = 65$

HOURLY INFORMATION 99



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-4 FOR JULY 3, 1975


DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 99$ $L_{10} = 48$ $L_{50} = 44$

$L_{90} = 42$ $L_{EQ} = 70$ $L_{DN} = 70$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION



NO. 5 CACHE ROAD

I. Site Description

A. <u>Population</u>	1972 -	2779
(Vicinity Map)	1975 -	2642
	1995 -	2787

B. Land Use

Although in a dedicated park, this site is greatly affected by the noise from Cache Road, a major 4 lane east-west arterial some 400 feet south of the site. A municipal fire station is between the site and Cache Road which is bordered by strip commercial development. A well developed residential neighborhood lies to the north-west, north, and north-east of the site.

C. Traffic

Hourly traffic counts at Location 5 during operating hours

at this site were:

<u>Time</u>	<u>Sun. June 22</u>	<u>Mon. June 23</u>
6:00 - 7:00 a.m.	-	683
7:00 - 8:00 a.m.	-	1441
8:00 - 9:00 a.m.	-	1288
9:00 - 10:00 a.m.	883	1547
10:00 - 11:00 a.m.	1073	1543
11:00 - 12:00 a.m.	1348	1975
12:00 - 1:00 p.m.	2080	2435
1:00 - 2:00 p.m.	2189	2347
2:00 - 3:00 p.m.	2063	2240
3:00 - 4:00 p.m.	2052	2308
4:00 - 5:00 p.m.	2054	3326
5:00 - 6:00 p.m.	2116	3567
6:00 - 7:00 p.m.	1980	2742
7:00 - 8:00 p.m.	1996	2094
8:00 - 9:00 p.m.	1817	1792
9:00 - 10:00 p.m.	1759	1845
	<u>23,410</u>	<u>33,173</u>

II. Operations

This station was scheduled to operate from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Sunday June 23 and Monday, June 24, 1975. It was rained out from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. on Sunday, June 23.

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical noise sources

Primary: Automobiles

Secondary: Motorcycles, trucks, dogs, planes

B. Intrusive Noise sources

Emergency vehicles were the most prevalent source of intrusive noise at this site. There was no indication of an unusually high incidence rate or intensity for any source at this site relative to other sites. The average incidence rate for all sounds over 70 dBA at this site was one every two hours.

The sources noted during the 28 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.

<u>Source</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>
Jets	1	0
Small Planes	2	0
Automobiles	3	1
Motorcycles	2	0
Trucks	2	1

B. Intrusive Noise Sources (Cont.)


<u>Sources</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>
Emergency Vehicles	4	0
Dogs	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	19	2

The high level of noise produced by dogs should be discounted since this site was unavoidably located within 80 feet of a dog's yard. Artillery noise was noted twice at this site.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 58 and 54 dBA indicate little probability of an adverse noise impact at this site. Less than 15 percent of the people are probably highly annoyed by noise at these levels.

The projected changes in traffic volume and population should have little effect upon these noise levels.




VICINITY MAP

LAND USE

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | VACANT |
| HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | PUBLIC FACILITY | INDUSTRIAL |





CR SITE # 5



NW CACHE ROAD



CR SITE # 5



SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-5 FOR JUNE 22, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 56$ $L_{50} = 53$
 $L_{90} = 48$ $L_{EQ} = 54$ $L_{DN} = 54$


DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-5 FOR JUNE 23, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 81$ $L_{10} = 59$ $L_{50} = 53$
 $L_{90} = 49$ $L_{EQ} = 58$ $L_{DN} = 58$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION



NO. 6 UNIVERSITY

I. Site Description

A. <u>Population:</u>	1972 - 1389
(Vicinity Map)	1975 - 1359
	1995 - 1208

B. Land Use

This site is in the north-east corner of Cameron University Campus. The heavily traveled 4 lane arterial 250 feet to the north separates the site from the County Hospital. Land use to the east, north-east, and north-west is residential.

C. Traffic

Heavy traffic including trucks and a few buses was observed on Gore Boulevard. Summer classes at Cameron University generated automobile traffic on University Drive on Monday, June 23.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	16,170	19,100
	2	10,165	21,400
	3	12,643	19,300

II. Operations

This site was scheduled to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Sunday, June 22 and Monday, June 23. It was rained out from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. on Sunday and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Monday.

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles

Secondary: Trucks, motorcycles

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

There was no indication of an unusually high incidence rate or intensity for any noise source at this site. The average incidence rate of all sounds over 70 dBA at this site was only one in five hours.


The sources noted during the 25 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.

<u>Source</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>
Jets	2	1
Small Planes	1	0
Automobiles	1	0
Trucks	1	0
Total	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>

No other sources were noted at this site.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} values of 52 and 55 dBA indicate that no adverse noise impact exists at this site. Less than ten percent of the people are probably highly annoyed at these levels of environmental noise.



VICINITY MAP

LAND USE


- | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | VACANT |
| HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | PUBLIC FACILITY | INDUSTRIAL |

UN SITE # 6

A - 42



UN SITE # 6
SCALE 0 50 100 200 FEET



AERIAL PHOTO

SCALE 0 50 100 200 FEET

SITE # 6



SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-6 FOR JUNE 22, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 71$ $L_{10} = 55$ $L_{50} = 50$

$L_{90} = 46$ $L_{EQ} = 52$ $L_{DN} = 53$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION





SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-6 FOR JUNE 23, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 81$ $L_{10} = 56$ $L_{50} = 52$

$L_{90} = 49$ $L_{EO} = 55$ $L_{DN} = 55$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION



4-4

NO. 7 FLOYD

I. Site Description

- A. Population: 1972 - 1773
(Vicinity Map) 1975 - 1589
1995 - 1933

B. Land Use

This site is in the center of a well-developed neighborhood. It is 700 yards south of the nearest artillery firing point at Fort Sill.

C. Traffic

Traffic in the immediate vicinity of the site is entirely light residential, automobiles, delivery trucks and motorcycles.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	1127	19,700
	2	NA	8,400

II. Operations

This site was scheduled for operations from 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 21 and Tuesday, June 24. It was rained out 2:00 to 3:00 p.m. and 7:00 to 11:00 p.m. on Tuesday. A one hour check run was made from 3:00 to 4:00 p.m. on Friday, July 18. There was no significant change from previous conditions except a lawnmower near the site gave an abnormally high number of readings in the fifties and low sixties.

III. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles

Secondary: Planes, trucks, motorcycles, yard maintenance,
 artillery.

B. Intrusive Noise sources

The most prevalent source of intrusive noise at this site was automobiles. Probably this can be attributed to the site being unavoidably closer to the street than is desirable. If measurements had been taken farther from the curb, probably only one or two automobiles would have been observed above 70 dBA, which would not indicate an unusually high incidence rate or intensity for this source. The average incidence rate for all sounds over 70 dBA at this site was one every seventy-five minutes. A more desirable microphone location would have resulted in an incidence rate about half of this, and would be more representative of noise experienced by residents in this area.

The sources noted during the 20 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.

<u>Sources</u>	<u>Number over 70 dBA</u>
Small planes	2
Helicopters	4
Automobiles	6
Motorcycles	3
Trucks	<u>1</u>
Total	16


Artillery noise was noted twenty-two times at this site.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 53 and 54 dBA indicate little probability of an adverse noise impact existing at this site. Less than ten percent of the population would probably be highly annoyed by noise at these levels of environmental noise.

The projected changes in traffic volume and population should have little effect upon these noise levels.

FORT SILL MILITARY RESERVATION



VICINITY MAP


LAND USE

- | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------|--|------------|
| | LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | | COMMERCIAL | | VACANT |
| | HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL | | PUBLIC FACILITY | | INDUSTRIAL |




FL SITE # 7

A - 49



FL SITE # 7



AERIAL PHOTO




SITE # 7


SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-7 FOR JUNE 21, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 54$ $L_{50} = 45$
 $L_{90} = 42$ $L_{EQ} = 53$ $L_{DN} = 53$

HOURLY INFORMATION




DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-7 FOR JUNE 24, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 53$ $L_{50} = 46$
 $L_{90} = 43$ $L_{EQ} = 54$ $L_{DN} = 54$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION





SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-7 FOR JULY 18, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 62$ $L_{50} = 49$

$L_{90} = 45$ $L_{EQ} = 59$ $L_{DN} = 59$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION



NO. 8 EISENHOWER

I. Site Description

A. <u>Population:</u>	1972 - 1442
(Vicinity Map)	1975 - 1080
	1995 - 1474

B. Land Use

This site is located on a public school campus which extends to the south and west. Beyond Gore Boulevard 200 feet to the north the land use is residential. To the east of 52nd Street, a minor arterial, the land use is also residential. There is a limited amount of commercial near the intersection of 52nd and Gore.

C. Traffic

No buses, few heavy trucks, mostly automobiles and delivery trucks.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	6285	14,000
	2	7171	2,000
	3	7457	13,700
	4	4495	2,500

II. Operations

This post was operated from 1:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. and from 4:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 21 (rained out 7:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight Saturday), and from 1:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on Tuesday, June 24.

II. Noise Sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles

Secondary: Trucks, motorcycles, plane, air conditioning

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

Jet airplanes were the most prevalent source of intrusive noise at this site. However, there is no indication of an unusually high incidence rate or intensity for this source. The average incidence rate for all sources above 70 dBA at this site was about one every three hours.

The sources noted during the 38 hours this site was operated are tabulated below:


<u>Source</u>	<u>Number over 70 dBA</u>
Jets	5
Small planes	2
Automobiles	1
Motorcycles	3
Trucks	1
Loudspeaker	<u>1</u>
Total	13

No sound sources exceeded 80 dBA.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 48 and 54 dBA indicate little probability of an adverse noise impact existing at this site. Less than five percent of the population would probably be highly annoyed by noise at these environmental noise levels.

The projected changes in traffic volume should have little effect upon these noise levels.



VICINITY MAP

LAND USE
 COMMERCIAL
 PUBLIC FACILITY


LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
 HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

VACANT
 INDUSTRIAL




A - 56

ES SITE # 8



ES SITE # 8
SCALE 0 50 100 200 FEET



AERIAL PHOTO

SCALE 0 50 100 200 FEET



SITE 8

SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-8 FOR JUNE 21, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 71$ $L_{10} = 51$ $L_{50} = 43$
 $L_{90} = 40$ $L_{EQ} = 48$ $L_{DN} = 49$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION





SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-8 FOR JUNE 24, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 81$ $L_{10} = 56$ $L_{50} = 49$
 $L_{90} = 44$ $L_{EQ} = 54$ $L_{DN} = 55$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION



NO. 9 HUNTER HILLS

I. Site Description

- A. Population: 1972 - 500
(Vicinity Map) 1975 - 475
1995 - 2100

B. Land Use

This site is in the center of a partially developed playground type park which in turn is within a well developed residential area. Beyond the residential area, land use is vacant to the north and west; partially developed strip commercial to the south; and residential to the east. This site is 2000 yards south of the nearest artillery firing point.

C. Traffic

Immediately adjacent to the site the traffic is automobiles, residential delivery and service trucks and motorcycles. Highway 62 and Cache Road to the north and south respectively are major arterials which carry all categories of heavy traffic.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	1528	2,300
	2	3014	7,800
	3	3211	15,500

II. Operations

This post was scheduled to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 21 and Tuesday, June 24, 1975. It was rained out from 7:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Saturday and from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday.

III. Noise Sources

- A. Primary: Automobiles, motorcycles, dogs
Secondary: Artillery, yard maintenance, planes, helicopters

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

Helicopters were the most prevalent sources of intrusive noise at this site. While there was no indication of unusually intense sound levels, the incidence rate of helicopters noise over 70 dBA was unusually high relative to other areas in Lawton. The average incidence rate of all sounds over 70 dBA was about one every hour.

The sources noted during the 26 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.

<u>Sources</u>	<u>Number over 70 dBA</u>
Small planes	1
Helicopters	10
Automobiles	4
Motorcycles	5


B. Intrusive Noise Sources (cont.)

<u>Sources</u>	<u>Number over 70 dBA</u>
Dogs	<u>8</u>
Total	28

Artillery noise was noted 38 times at this site.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} levels of 55 and 50 dBA indicate little probability of an adverse noise impact presently existing at this site. About five percent of the population would probably be highly annoyed by noise at these levels. The projected changes in population and traffic near this site would produce higher levels and could result in an adverse impact in some areas. Careful planning should be exercised in the development of this region, especially in the vicinity of Highway No. 62.




VICINITY MAP

LAND USE


- | | | |
|--|---|--|
|  LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL |  COMMERCIAL |  VACANT |
|  HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL |  PUBLIC FACILITY |  INDUSTRIAL |

HH SITE # 9



A - 64

HH SITE # 9
SCALE 0 50 100 150 FEET




AERIAL PHOTO

SCALE 0 50 100 150 200 FEET
SITE # 9


SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-9 FOR JUNE 21, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 51$ $L_{50} = 45$
 $L_{90} = 41$ $L_{EQ} = 50$ $L_{DN} = 50$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION





SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-9 FOR JUNE 24, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 77$ $L_{10} = 53$ $L_{50} = 48$
 $L_{90} = 44$ $L_{EQ} = 55$ $L_{DN} = 55$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION



No. 10 WOODLAND PARK

I. Site Description

- A. Population: 1972 - 1793
(Vicinity Map) 1975 - 1950
1995 - 2200

B. Land Use

This site is in a park directly behind an elementary school and between two residential areas to the north and south. There is very little commercial use in the vicinity, some higher density residential and a considerable amount of vacant land beyond the immediate vicinity especially to the north and west.

C. Traffic

The nearest traffic some 200 feet distant is automobiles, motorcycles, and light commercial trucks. 67th street, 500 feet to the east is a busy street but carries relatively few heavy trucks. West Gore Boulevard to the south is less busy at this time but can be expected in the future to carry more traffic of all categories.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	3666	1300
	2	352	9700

II. Operations

This post was scheduled to be operational 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Sunday, June 22 and Monday, June 23. It was rained out from 7:00 to 9:00 a.m. on Sunday and from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Monday. It

was also necessary to shut down because of a personnel shortage from 2:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Sunday. A check of one hour was done from 2:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. on Friday, July 18. Sound levels at that time were stable and uniform but somewhat higher than in the initial sample. This was attributable to cicadas (seventeen year locusts) in the trees and not to any other sources.

III. Noise sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Birds, automobiles

Secondary: Yard maintenance, artillery, motorcycles, cicadas

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

There were no sources of intrusive noise which showed unusually high incidence rates at this site. However, unusually intense noise was observed once from railroad operations. The average incidence rate of all sources exceeding 70 dBA was about one every four hours.

The sources noted during the 19 hours this site was operated are tabulated below.


<u>Source</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>
Railroad	1	1
Motorcycles	1	1
Dogs	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	4	2

Artillery noise was noted seven times at this site.

IV. Observations







The daily L_{eq} values of 60 and 53 dBA indicate a slight probability of an adverse noise impact existing at this site. The daily values for June 22 should not be construed as adequately representative of the prevailing environment at this site since it is based on only five hours of measurement and its value is dominated by two unusually high data points. More extensive measurements would be required to accurately determine representative levels at this site. However, this does not seem warranted in view of the low probability that such measurements would indicate an adverse noise impact since the mean of all hourly L_{eq} values was 55 dBA. At this level, about ten percent of the population would probably be highly annoyed by noise.

The projected changes in population and traffic volume at this site would probably have only a small effect on levels at this site.





VICINITY MAP

LAND USE

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
|  LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL |  COMMERCIAL |  VACANT |
|  HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL |  PUBLIC FACILITY |  INDUSTRIAL |



WP. SITE # 10



AERIAL PHOTO

SCALE 0 50 100 FEET



SITE #10

SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-10 FOR JUNE 22, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 89$ $L_{10} = 50$ $L_{50} = 43$

$L_{90} = 41$ $L_{EQ} = 60$ $L_{DN} = 60$


DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION




SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-10 FOR JUNE 23, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 75$ $L_{10} = 51$ $L_{50} = 46$
 $L_{90} = 43$ $L_{EQ} = 53$ $L_{DN} = 54$

HOURLY INFORMATION



DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION





SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-10 FOR JULY 18, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 65$ $L_{10} = 60$ $L_{50} = 55$
 $L_{90} = 53$ $L_{EQ} = 56$ $L_{DN} = 56$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION



NO. 11 CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT

I. Site Description

- A. Population: 1972 - 734
(Vicinity Map) 1975 - 662
1995 - 430

B. Land Use

Much of this land is temporarily vacant as a result of current urban renewal operations. It is anticipated that it will be fully developed in the near future as a modernized central business district. There are active businesses to the east along C Avenue and some to the north between 2nd and 3rd Streets.

C. Traffic

2nd Street and Gore Boulevard are major arterials carrying heavy traffic of all kinds. Other streets in the area are business streets carrying local traffic mostly automobiles and delivery trucks.

Traffic Counts:	<u>Location</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1995</u>
(ADT)	1	3,159	3,100
	2	10,818	7,900
	3	1,169	3,100
	4	1,940	700
	5	8,848	15,500

II. Operations

This site was occupied from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Thursday, July 17, 1975 to provide a base level of sound with which a later assessment could be compared after redevelopment of the CBD is complete.

III. Noise sources

A. Typical Noise Sources

Primary: Automobiles, trucks, jets

Secondary: Cicadas

B. Intrusive Noise Sources

Jet aircraft and trucks were the most prevalent sources of intrusive environmental noise at this site. Jets showed unusually high intensities and both sources had unusually high incidence rates relative to other sites in Lawton. The average incidence rate for all sounds above 70 dBA at this site was slightly less than one every two hours.


The sources noted during the 16 hours this site was operated are noted below.

<u>Source</u>	<u>Over 70 dBA</u>	<u>Over 80 dBA</u>
Jets	6	3
Trucks	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	10	3

No other noise sources were noted.

IV. Observations

The daily L_{eq} of 57 dBA observed at this site indicates some probability of an adverse noise impact existing at this site. Even though this is not an intended residential district, this impact should be of concern to hotels, etc.. At this level of environmental noise, 15 percent of the population would be highly annoyed by noise.




VICINITY MAP

LAND USE

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
|  LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL |  COMMERCIAL |  VACANT |
|  HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL |  PUBLIC FACILITY |  INDUSTRIAL |


CBD SITE # 11

A - 78



CBD SITE # 11
 SCALE 0 50 100 200 FEET

S.W. 2ND ST.



AERIAL PHOTO

SCALE 0 50 100 200 FEET
 SITE # 11



SUMMARY OF NOISE LEVELS AT S-11 FOR JULY 17, 1975

DAILY INFORMATION: $L_{MAX} = 85$ $L_{10} = 58$ $L_{50} = 52$

$L_{90} = 49$ $L_{EQ} = 57$ $L_{DN} = 57$

DAILY NOISE LEVEL DISTRIBUTION

HOURLY INFORMATION



APPENDIX A. 2

This appendix tabulates and compares the data obtained on intrusive noise sources at each site. The actual numbers of intrusive sources observed are indicated in the table and their relative incidence rates are shown on the graph for comparison. The incidence rates are simply the numbers observed divided by the number of hours the site was operated. Intrusive sounds due to animal noises are excluded from the summary. Those observed were not considered to be representative of prevalent sounds in the vicinity since they were due to animals inadvertently in close proximity to the meter.


SUMMARY OF IDENTIFIED SOUND SOURCE DATA

<u>SITE NO.</u>	<u>TOTAL HOURS</u>	<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF IDENTIFIED SOUND SOURCES</u>			<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF IDENTIFIED SOURCES OVER 70 dBA BY CATEGORIES</u>						
		<u>70 dBA</u>	<u>Over: 80 dBA</u>	<u>90 dBA</u>	<u>J</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>m</u>
1	32	16	0	0	0	6	5	3	7	0	1
2	24	9	0	0	0	5	0	1	2	0	1
3	30	67	10	2	8	19	17	15	5	2	1
4	38	26	9	5	7	8	2	4	0	1	4
5	28	19	2	0	1	2	0	3	2	2	4
6	25	5	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0
7	20	16	1	0	0	2	4	6	3	1	0
8	38	13	0	0	5	2	0	1	3	1	1
9	26	29	0	0	0	1	10	4	5	0	1
10	19	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
11	16	10	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	4	0

Code:

J - Jet
P - Plane
H - Helicopter
A - Auto
M - Motorcycle
T - Truck
m - miscellaneous
(All other sources except animals)

RELATIVE INCIDENCE RATES FOR SOUND SOURCES
EXCEEDING 70 dBA AT EACH SITE



LEGEND

□	70 - 80 dBA	J. JETS	M. MOTORCYCLES
▨	80 - 90 dBA	P. SMALL PLANES	T. TRUCKS
▩	90 - 100 dBA	H. HELICOPTERS	m. MISC. EXCLUDING ANIMALS
		A. AUTOMOBILES	

APPENDIX B

This appendix contains a description of the City of Lawton and its environs.

APPENDIX B

Lawton, Oklahoma, the county seat of Comanche County, is a city of some 80,000 population. Since its founding in 1901 the economy of the city has been dependent on the contiguous US Army Post, Fort Sill. Sounds of weapons firing at Fort Sill reach into the City. The fact that residents accept this condition with little or no complaint is primarily attributable to the fact that it is usually low level and secondarily to the dependence of the economy of the City on the Post. There are two airfields affecting the Lawton environment. The Municipal Airport is centrally located on the south side of the City and US Army Henry Post Field at Fort Sill is just north of the City, also centrally located.

There is little basic industry except for Fort Sill.

The Lawton Metropolitan Area is Comprised of Comanche County with a total of 1,084 square miles, 15th largest of the state's 77 counties. The urbanized area of Lawton, the county seat, contains a majority of the population of the county which also is composed of the unorganized territory of the United States Army Artillery and Missile Center at Fort Sill, the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge, 10 towns, and 19 townships populated primarily by residents engaged in the agricultural and livestock production fields.

Lawton is located at 34.55° north latitude and 98.24° west longitude in the Red Plains region of Oklahoma, a part of the Great Plains of the Midwest. The plains range in elevation between 1,000 and 2,000 feet above sea level, and are broken by the Wichita Mountains northwest of the City in the northern part of the county. At the highest point, the mountain ranges reach an altitude of 2,400 feet ASL, towering about 1,000 feet above the surrounding plains. Geographically,

Lawton is located approximately 10 miles from the foothills of the mountains.

In its strategic location, Lawton is the most heavily populated city in Oklahoma south or west of the state capital, Oklahoma City, which is situated 90 miles to the north of Lawton. The nearest metropolitan area to the south of Lawton is Wichita Falls, Texas. Lawton is located within 150 to 160 miles of the Dallas and Fort Worth, Texas, metropolitan areas.

Within a radius of 50 miles of Lawton are located 10 counties comprising almost 11 per cent of the population of the state. Within a radius of 100 miles of Lawton, there are 28 counties with a total population of 1,030,000, some 44 percent of the state's population.

In its Red Plains location, the Lawton Metropolitan Area enjoys an average annual temperature of 62 degrees, an average annual growing season of more than 200 days, and an average rainfall of 30.9 inches of rain. The Red Plains region provides a fertile soil for major agricultural purposes, but contributes less than one-half of one percent of the annual output of minerals in the state which is ranked among the highest oil producing states in the nation.

APPENDIX C

This appendix contains copies of the information sheets provided to those conducting the assessment.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
USING A PULSAR MODEL 40
TYPE 2 SOUND LEVEL METER

General:

One data sheet is to be completed during each hour of operation, always beginning on a clock hour. During the first five minutes of each hour a data sheet is prepared and the meter is checked. Data is taken every 15 seconds during the next 50 minutes. The last five minutes are used in completing the data sheet.

Hourly Schedules:

xx:00 - xx:05 Indicate identifying information on the data sheet and check the the meter.

1. Identifying information: At the top of the sheet, fill in your site (such as DP - 1), name and date, circle the appropriate day of the week, and fill in the times for this data sheet.
2. Meter battery check: Set the meter switch to "batt," and be sure the instrument indicates good batteries. (the small red light comes on). If it does not, replace the batteries (remove the screws on the back) and recheck for a "good" indication. Mark the appropriate blank on the data sheet. Reset the switch to "on".

3. Meter settings check: Make sure that the meter switches are set to 'A' and "fast". Mark the blanks to indicate you have checked the meter settings.
4. Meter calibration check:
 - a. Adjust the meter scale switch on the right side (if necessary) so that the meter scale includes sound levels between 90 and 100 decibels.
 - b. Depress and release the push button of the calibrator and make sure the tone remains 'on' for more than a few seconds. If it does not, replace the calibrator batteries (unscrew the bottom black part of the calibrator housing).
 - c. Remove the windscreen from the microphone and gently place the calibrator on the microphone as far as it will go. Press and release the calibrator push button again and read the meter. Mark this reading in the "calibration end" blank of the preceding hour's data sheet (if any). Use a small screwdriver to adjust the calibration screw on the side so the meter reads 94 dBA. Gently remove the calibrator and replace the microphone windscreen. The calibrator will turn itself off in about one minute after the button on its side was depressed. On the data sheet for this hour, mark "94" in the "set to" blank. At the beginning of the next hour you will repeat this procedure

to find out if the meter calibration has changed during the hour.

xx:05 - xx:30 Take data and indicate high level noise sources:

1. Take sound level readings at fifteen second intervals by reading the sound level meter and making a slash mark in the appropriate box on the data sheet. You may adjust the meter scale switch to take a reading if the needle goes off scale.

On the data sheet the boxes at each noise level are to be marked in succession, starting at the left. (see sample).

2. If a reading exceeds 70 dBA, rather than simply marking in the appropriate box, write in the box a letter indicating what you hear making the noise. (see sample). For a source listed on the top right of the data sheet, use the letter indicated. For example, if the meter reads 74 dBA and you can hear that a jet is responsible, write 'J' in the next empty box in the 74-76 dBA row. If the source you hear is not listed, write it on one of the blank line below the lists and make up a corresponding symbol to write in the boxes. Indicate your symbol on the listing, being sure it is not already being used for another source (e.g., use a lower case letter).


xx:30 -xx:35 Take 5 minute break

xx:35 -xx:55 Continue recording data

xx:55 -xx:00 Complete data sheet and prepare for next hour.

1. On top right of data sheet, check the blank which best describes this site during this hour.
2. Indicate your opinion about living in an area with this much noise during this part of the day by checking a blank in the "Evaluation" section.
3. On the upper right of the data sheet, circle on the source list those noise sources which you feel have been significant during the hour, i.e., those sources which would have disturbed you if you were living here. This can include sources which did not exceed the 70 dBA level. Leave unmarked those sources not producing problem noises during the hour.
4. If this is the last data sheet for this site repeat the meter calibration check and indicate the 'end' dBA. If another hour of data follows, you will take care of this during the next five minutes.
5. If time allows, count the total number marked of each noise source symbol and indicate that total next to the appropriate symbol in the source lists. For example, if there are two 'H's in the 80-2 row and one in the 72-4 row, one in the 70-2 row, and no other 'H's marked, write the total, four, next to the 'H' in the source list (see sample). Do not include in the total any symbols inadvertently marked in rows below the 70-2 row.


COMMUNITY NOISE ASSESSMENT DATA SHEET
 COMMUNITY LANTON



STATION NO 3 METER CHECK _____
 OPERATOR Smith BATTERY OK REPLACED
 DATE 24 June 1975 SETTINGS A FAST
 DAY S M W T F S CALIBRATION SET TO 94.0 dB
 TIME 20:00 TO 21:00 END READS 74.2 dB

TRANSPORTATION	MAGNIBERY	DESCRIPTION
E. EMER. VEH.	C. CONSTRUCTION EQUIP.	<input type="checkbox"/> V. QUIET
P. 2 SMALL PLANE	Y. YARD MAINT. EQUIP.	<input type="checkbox"/> QUIET
J. JET	F. FACTORY EQUIP.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M. NOISY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 HELICOPTER	H. HOUSEHOLD EQUIP.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOISY
R. RAILROAD		<input type="checkbox"/> V. NOISY
T. TRUCK		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 AUTO		
B. BUS	OTHER	EVALUATION
M. 2 MOTORCYCLE	D. DOG	<input type="checkbox"/> V. ACCEPT.
W. WATER CRAFT	L. LOUD SPEAKERS	<input type="checkbox"/> ACCEPT.
V. OFF RD. VEH.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M. UNACCEPT.
		<input type="checkbox"/> UNACCEPT.
		<input type="checkbox"/> V. UNACCEPT.

9 - C



C2 Check List

Required Equipment for each monitoring station

Type 2 Sound Level Meter	Flashlight (night stations)
Windscreen	Data sheets
Calibrator	Clipboard or equivalent
Small Screwdriver	Marking pens
Tripod	Instruction sheet and checklist
Timekeeper with sweep second hand	Shipping case
Lantern (night stations)	Spare batteries for all equipment

CHECK LIST FOR STATION OPERATORS

<input type="checkbox"/> have instruction sheet	<input type="checkbox"/> have ID letter and personal ID
<input type="checkbox"/> have sound level meter	<input type="checkbox"/> know headquarters phone number _____
<input type="checkbox"/> have wind screen	<input type="checkbox"/> know location of site
<input type="checkbox"/> have extra batteries (4) for sound level meter	<input type="checkbox"/> know microphone location at site
<input type="checkbox"/> know how to change meter batteries	<input type="checkbox"/> know person whom I will go with to site
<input type="checkbox"/> have calibrator	<input type="checkbox"/> know duration of duty (when I'll be relieved)
<input type="checkbox"/> have extra batteries (2) for calibrator	<input type="checkbox"/> have lantern (night station)
<input type="checkbox"/> know how to change calibrator batteries	<input type="checkbox"/> have spare lantern batteries
<input type="checkbox"/> have small screwdriver for calibration	<input type="checkbox"/> know how to change lantern batteries
<input type="checkbox"/> have tripod	<input type="checkbox"/> have flashlight (checked batteries)
<input type="checkbox"/> have timekeeper with sweep second hand (stopwatch)	<input type="checkbox"/> have folding chair
<input type="checkbox"/> have watch with time of day	<input type="checkbox"/> have food and drink
<input type="checkbox"/> have <u>writing board</u> and enough data sheets (one for each hour plus two spares)	<input type="checkbox"/> have foul <u>weather garments, protection from sun, insects, etc. (protection from sun and insects is essential - wear hats)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> have two red pens _____	

A few rules

Do not litter
Do not use umbrellas
Take cover with equipment in case of rain and wait for supervisor
Do not park your vehicle within 50' of instrument

INSTRUMENTS ARE DELICATE!
HANDLE WITH CARE!!
Check in and out at City Hall with shift clerk and shift supervisor.
Do NOT leave City Hall on check out until released by shift supervisor.

C3 WORK SCHEDULES AND TIMETABLES

Roster and Work Schedule

Team	Names	Report City Hall		Off
		Date	Time	
A	Charles Whitis Jerry Hammond	Fri, June 20	11:30 p.m.	Sat, June 21 - 8:30 a.m.
		Sat, June 21	11:30 p.m.	Sun, June 22 - 8:30 a.m.
		Sun, June 22	11:30 p.m.	Mon, June 23 - 8:30 a.m.
		Mon, June 23	11:30 p.m.	Tue, June 24 - 8:30 a.m.
B	Ed Tahah Garfield Bowles	Sat, June 21	5:30 a.m.	Sat, June 21 - 2:30 p.m.
		Sun, June 22	5:30 a.m.	Sun, June 22 - 2:30 p.m.
C	Mike McCallis R. C. Munn	Mon, June 23	5:30 a.m.	Mon, June 23 - 2:30 p.m.
		Tue, June 24	5:30 a.m.	Tue, June 24 - 2:30 p.m.
D	George Ward	Sat, June 21	6:30 a.m.	Sat, June 21 - 3:30 p.m.
E	Jimmy L. Lewis Paul Nielsen John S. Adams	Sun, June 22	6:30 a.m.	Sun, June 22 - 3:30 p.m.
		Mon, June 23	6:30 a.m.	Mon, June 23 - 3:30 p.m.
		Tue, June 24	6:30 a.m.	Tue, June 24 - 3:30 p.m.
F	Roy Gilyard Phil Baker	Sat, June 21	7:30 a.m.	Sat, June 21 - 4:30 p.m.
		Sun, June 22	7:30 a.m.	Sun, June 22 - 4:30 p.m.
		Mon, June 23	7:30 a.m.	Mon, June 23 - 4:30 p.m.
		Tue, June 24	7:30 a.m.	Tue, June 24 - 4:30 p.m.
G	Ivan Stoneberg	Sat, June 21	1:30 p.m.	Sat, June 21 - 10:30 p.m.
H	David W. Pettus A. C. James Willie L. Long	Sun, June 22	1:30 p.m.	Sun, June 22 - 10:30 p.m.
		Mon, June 23	1:30 p.m.	Mon, June 23 - 10:30 p.m.
I	James Russell Gary Watkins	Tue, June 24	1:30 p.m.	Tue, June 24 - 10:30 p.m.
		Sat, June 21	2:30 p.m.	Sat, June 21 - 11:30 p.m.
J	Clyde Mason Eddie Williams	Sun, June 22	2:30 p.m.	Sun, June 22 - 11:30 p.m.
		Mon, June 23	2:30 p.m.	Mon, June 23 - 11:30 p.m.
K	Cecil Davidson Mike Weatherall (Cokefield)	Tue, June 24	2:30 p.m.	Tue, June 24 - 11:30 p.m.
		Sat, June 21	3:30 p.m.	Sun, June 22 - 12:30 a.m.
		Sun, June 22	3:30 p.m.	Mon, June 23 - 12:30 a.m.
		Mon, June 23	3:30 p.m.	Tue, June 24 - 12:30 a.m.
X	Frank Tartsah Gary Shaw (Hannah)	Tue, June 24	3:30 p.m.	Wed, June 25 - 12:30 a.m.
		Sat, June 21	8:00 a.m.	Sat, June 21 - 3:00 p.m.
		Sun, June 22	8:00 a.m.	Sun, June 22 - 3:00 p.m.
		Mon, June 23	8:00 a.m.	Mon, June 23 - 3:00 p.m.
Y	Glenn Copeland Harold Walters	Tue, June 24	8:00 a.m.	Tue, June 24 - 3:00 p.m.
		Sat, June 21	3:00 p.m.	Sat, June 21 - 10:00 p.m.
		Sun, June 22	3:00 p.m.	Sun, June 22 - 10:00 p.m.
		Mon, June 23	3:00 p.m.	Mon, June 23 - 10:00 p.m.
SB	Robert Barnes L. T. Harrison Dale Brither Edward Chatman	On call		
		Sat - Tue	5:30 a.m.	4:30 p.m.
Sh 1	Bigham - Super Wayatt - Clerk		5:30 a.m.	11:30 a.m. daily
Sh 2	Pondrom - Super Radford - Clerk		11:30 a.m.	6:00 p.m. daily
Sh 3	Thompson - Super Dahna - Clerk		6:00 p.m.	12:30 a.m. daily

Date & Time	Shift Supervisor & Shift Clerk	Teams	Remarks
Fri. 20 June & Mon. 23 June 11:30 p.m.	No shift supervisor	Team A meets at City Hall and goes to Site ES.	Team A picks up equipment and Radio Vehicle.
Sat. 21 June 1:00 a.m.	***	Team A starts operations at Site ES.	
3:00 a.m.	***	Take one hour break.	Secure safety of equipment.
4:00 a.m.	***	Resume operations.	
5:30 a.m.	Bigham and shift clerk reports to City Hall and takes Teams B & C to Sites KB & HP.	Teams B & C report to City Hall.	Pick up equipment and check Team B. Takes radio vehicle to Site KB.
6:00 a.m.	Shift clerk commences routine duties at City Hall.	Teams B & C start operations at Sites KB & HP.	
7:00 a.m.	***	D & E start operations at FL & HH.	
7:30 a.m.	Takes Team F to Site ES relieves Team A and returns to City Hall.	Team F reports to City Hall.	
8:00 a.m.	Dispatches Team X on relief cycle.	Team F starts operations at Site ES. Team X reports to Hall and starts relieving Teams B, C, D, E, and F at Sites KB, HP, FL, HH & ES in that order for 30 min. each and repeat until 2:45 p.m. Report off at City Hall at 3 p.m.	Picks up vehicle.

Date & Time	Shift Supervisor & Shift Clerk	Teams	Remarks
Sat. 21 June 8:15 a.m.	Checks with shift clerk and starts visiting sites.		
11:30 a.m.	Pondrom and shift clerk relieves Bigham and clerk. Visits sites. Clerk starts routine duties.	***	
1:30 p.m.	Takes Teams G & H to sites KB and HP.	Teams G & H report to City Hall.	
2:00 p.m.		Teams G & H start operations at Sites KB & HP.	
2:30 p.m.	Takes Teams I & J on relief cycle. Checks with shift clerk and visits one site.	Teams I & J report to City Hall.	
3:00 p.m.	Dispatches Team Y on relief cycle. Checks with shift clerk and visits one site.	Teams I & J start opera- tions at Sites HH & FL. Team Y reports to City Hall, picks up vehicle and starts relieving teams at Sites KB, HP, FL, HH and ES in order for 30 min. each and repeat until 9:45 p.m. Report off at City Hall at 10 p.m.	
3:30 p.m.	Takes Team K to Site ES and continues site visits until 6 p.m. Return to City Hall.	Team K reports to City Hall.	
4:00 p.m.		Team K starts operations at Site ES.	
6:00 p.m.	Thompson & Clerk relieves Pondrom & clerk at City Hall. Resume site visits.	***	

Date & Time	Shift Supervisor & Shift Clerk	Teams	Remarks
Sat. 10:00 p.m.	Relieves Team H at Site HP and returns to City Hall.	Team Y reports off at City Hall and leaves vehicle. Team G stops operations at Site KB, returns to City Hall in radio vehicle, report off.	Leave vehicle at City Hall.
11:00 p.m.	Relieves Teams I & J to Sites HH & FL and returns to City Hall.		
11:30 p.m.	Takes Team A to Site MA.	Team A reports to City Hall.	
11:45 p.m.	XXXXX	Team K moves equip. to Site MA, meets supervisor. Sat. night only.	Leaves radio vehicle at Site MA Sat. night only.
12:00	Returns to City Hall with Team K and reports off with clerk.	Team A starts operations at Site MA. Sat. night only.	Lock City Hall.

C4 Shift supervisor instructions

1. Take charge
2. Verify that crews have all checked that they have equipment needed.
3. Carry extra batteries, forms, pens, insect repellent, water, salt tablets, leaf bags, etc.
4. Take teams to sites and be certain they will be able to start operations on time.
5. Insure that shift clerk know where you will be at all times. Call in deviations from itinerary.
6. Insure that shift clerk is
 - a. Preparing forms with new headings.
 - b. Transcribing data from data recording forms to "mark sense" forms.
 - c. Keeping time cards on "summer hires".
7. Check that data recording sheets are completely filled out before turn in.
8. Observe to see that operators are performing duties properly. Observe instruments and compare with recording current and past. Observe for littering. Solve problems; take emergency action as required.
9. When teams are relieved be certain that all equipment is turned in (or turned over to next team), data sheets are turned in, and clerk has time record in and out.
10. Know names and telephone numbers of stand-bys.
11. Relieve teams in case of rain.


Shift clerk instructions

1. Follow instructions of shift supervisor, otherwise:
2. Know where shift supervisor is.
3. Work on data sheet headings, "mark sense" sheets, or time sheets.
4. Answer telephone - refer problems to supervisor (in emergency to Paul Cullen)
5. Help supervisor check teams in and out.

APPENDIX D

This appendix contains a sample copy of the data coding forms, a description and listing of the computer program used in analyzing the data, and a sample printout.

FLOW CHART OF PROGRAM MODOP



MODOP

APPLICATIONS OF MODOP:

1. Hand-held meter data reduction.
2. B & K Environmental Noise Classifier data reduction.
3. EPA Noise Monitoring System developed by CERL personnel and Kamperman & Associates.

Limitation of MODOP:

1. Range of noise levels is 35-109 dB. If range increase is desired, follow steps given below:
 - (a) Value of KKK should be increased by the same amount.
 - (b) If a new baseline is desired, change KBASE accordingly.
 - (c) Proportionate increase in the dimensions of NDB, NDBA, LDB, LDBA, and DBP.
2. A maximum of 30 site-days can be handled at a time.
3. Total number of samples for any hour cannot equal zero. In other words, this program will not accept blank hours.

Description of What MODOP Does:

MODOP performs following functions:

- (a) Prints source identification.
- (b) Prints and plots frequency and cumulative distributions.
- (c) Calculates L_n s and L_{eq} . The program uses Lagrangian interpolation to determine the L_n s.

- (d) Performs the same for the whole site-day.
- (e) Finds correlation coefficients
 - $r_{eq,10}$ = correlation between L_{eq} and L_{10}
 - $r_{eq,50}$ = correlation between L_{eq} and L_{50}
 - $r_{50,10}$ = correlation between L_{50} and L_{10}
- (f) Plots $L_0, L_1, L_{10}, L_{50}, L_{90}$ and L_{eq} statistics for the site-day.
- (g) Will punch out a duplicate data deck, if desired.
- (h) If desired, can reduce demand-periodic data.

Input to MODOP

Note that in a free-format field, either a comma or one or more blanks could be used as a delimiter. Most of the cards are read in free-format, in this program; for savings in data punching time. Instead of punching a series of five tens, as in a fixed format for example; a simple 5 10 does the trick in a free format, you could change the format, if so desired.

The following is an illustration of how the data cards should be ordered:

		NDAYS Card
		SITE-DAY Card
NDAY = 1	NHOUR = 1	(Optional) SOURCE Card TIME & BIN Cards
	NHOUR = 2	(Optional) SOURCE Card TIME & BIN Cards
		repeat until NHOUR = NHOURS
		SITE-DAY Card
NDAY = 2	NHOUR = 1	(Optional) SOURCE Card TIME & BIN Cards
		repeat until NHOUR = NHOURS
		repeat until NDAY = NDAYS

1. NDAYS CARDS:

READ *, NDAYS, ISKIP, ICOR, MICTH, MDB, IHIST, IPUNCH, IOPS,

WHERE

NDAYS = number of SITE-DAYS

ISKIP = 0, if daily statistics including L_n plot is required.

ISKIP = 1, if daily statistics including the L_n plot is not required.

ICOR = 0, if correlation-coefficients are required.

ICOR = 1, if correlation coefficients are not required.

MICTH = 0

MDB = 1

IHIST = 0

IHIST = 1, if hourly distributions are required only, for data verification purposes.

IPUNCH = 0, if punched data deck not required.

IPUNCH = 1, if punched data deck is required.

IOPS = 0, if source identification is not recorded.

IOPS = 1, if source identification is recorded.

2. SITE-DAY CARD: Read

cols. 2-5: NHOURS, the number of blocks of data for the site-day (1 4).

cols. 6-55: Print the name of the site, location and other information (Alphameric format).

cols. 56-67 Print the data (Alphameric format).

3. (Optional) SOURCE CARD:

Include this card, only if IOPS = 1 in the NDAYS card.

READ *, (ISOUR (1), 1 = 1, 19)

where

ISOUR (1) = Rating Description

ISOUR (2) = Rating Evaluation

ISOUR (3) = to ISOUR (13) = Transportation Source Counts in following

order: Emergency vehicles, small planes, jet aircrafts, helicopters,
trains, trucks, automobiles, buses, motorcycles, recreation vehicles,
water craft

ISOUR (14) to ISOUR (17) = Equipment Source Counts in the following order:

construction, yard, factory, house

ISOUR (18) to ISOUR (19) = Miscellaneous Source Counts:

dogs, loudspeakers

4. TIME & BIN CARDS:

In this card, you read the time of hour and the bin counts.

READ *, IN, TS, TF, ISUM, NDB (35dB to 109dB)

where

IN = sheet or page number (integer)

TS = time started (floating)

TF = time stopped (floating)

ISUM = total number of samples for the hour (integer)

NDB = number of sample counts in each bin starting from the 35dB

bin. For hand-held meter data, enter twice the number of samples
in each bin to avoid fractions and ensure greater accuracy.

Thus the total number of samples would be twice as many.

For classifier data, multiply the 2-dB width counts by a factor
of 3 and the 3-dB width counts by a factor of 2. The total number
of samples would then be six times the original (even though
the total number of samples is increased, this will not affect
the statistics).

IF FURTHER INFORMATION IS REQUIRED
WRITE TO:

Hal Watson, Jr., Ph. D.
CE/ME Dept., IOT
SMU
Dallas, Texas 75275

GLOSSARY

NDAYS	= Number of SITE-days
ISKIP	= Control for skipping daily statistics
ICOR	= Control for skipping correlation coefficients
MICHT	= Demand-periodic model number = 0
MDB	= Control for whether data input in histogram form (1) of cumulative form (0)
IHIST	= Control for data verification
IPUNCH	= Control for punching out duplicate data deck
IOPS	= Control for source I.D. information card
KBASE	= Minimum baseline level handled by program MODOP = 35
KKK	= Dynamic range of the program = 75
NHOURS	= Number of blocks/hours of data for the given site-day
NDB (N)	= Number of samples in bin N for the given hour
NDBA (N)	= NDB (N) for the site-day
IN	= Sheet/block number
TS	= Time start
TF	= Time finish
ISUM	= Total number of samples for the given hour
KSUM	= E ISUM

NOTE: It is suggested to verify data (IHIST = 1) on first run

SUBROUTINES

1. CUMUL : Finds cumulative distribution from frequency distribution
2. FREQD : Prints and plots both frequency and cumulative distributions

3. LDBN : Uses Lagrangian interpolation to find the percentiles
4. AVERAG : Computes L_{eq} , L_{mean} , median L , and variance of the distribution
5. CORREL 4: Calculates correlation coefficients up to four variables; in our case:
 $r_{eq, 10}$ = correlation between L_{eq} and L_{10}
 $r_{eq, 50}$ = correlation between L_{eq} and L_{50}
 $r_{50, 10}$ = correlation between L_{50} and L_{10}
6. BAGEL4: Plots L_0 , L_1 , L_{10} , L_{50} , L_{90} and L_{eq} statistics for the given site-day

SAMPLE COMPUTER LISTING
AND PRINTOUT

(D 13 - 29)

```

1      PROGRAM MONOP (INPUT,OUTPUT,PUNCH,TAPES=INPUT,TAPE=OUTPUT,TAPE=
      (PUNCH)
      DIMENSION SITE(10),NHR(76),NDRA(76),DR(86),LORA(86),DRP(76),
      JAL(4),Y(1,7,97),AL10(24),AL50(24),ALEQ(24),ISOUR(19)
2      +,AAL(30),AAL50(30),AALQ(30),ODFL(30),OEL(24),ALM(24)
      COMMON/LEQ/KKK/RAG/MON/DAY,YEAR,SITE/FREQ/KBASE/FAC/UMPI/LP/
      /MODFL/THRESK,SPREAD,RATIO,ISUM,MECTH
      REAL LDN,LNH,JAL,LUMM,NPL,LSO
      INTGFR THRESM,THRESK,UAY,DHP,SPREAD
11     .....
      C
      C
      C THIS PROGRAM IS GOOD FOR NOISE-LEVELS THAT FALL BETWEEN 76DB AND 100DB
      C CAUTION - DONT OVERLOOK
15     IF NDAYS IS GREATER THAN 30 THEN
      C CHANGE DIMENSIONS IN CONTINUATION CARD & ABOVE
      C ISKIP = 1. IF DAILY STATISTICS & RAREL NOT REQUIRED
      C ICOR = 1. IF CHANNELS AFTER HREFL NOT REQUIRED
      C MICTH = 0. IF NOT DEMAND-PERIODIC IE REGULAR DATA
20     C MICTH = 1. IF DEMAND-PERIODIC HAS LINEAR MODEL
      C MICTH = 2. IF DEMAND-PERIODIC HAS EXPONENTIAL MODFL
      C MICTH = 3. IF DEMAND-PERIODIC HAS PARABOLIC MODFL
      C MDR = 1. IF INPUT IS HISTOGRAM IF FREQ DISTRIBUTION
      C IMIST = 1. IF HISTOGRAM IS DESTROYED ONLY
25     C IPUNCH = 1. IF DATA CARDS TO PUNCH IN HISTOGRAM OUTPUT
      C IOPS = 1. IF SOURCE COUNTS ARE TO BE RECORDED
      C READ #, NDAYS,ISKIP,ICOR,MICTH,MDR,IMIST,IPUNCH,IOPS
      C IF MICTH=1, READ #, RATIO,THRESM,SPREAD
      C READ 10, NCASES,SITE,DATE
30     C IF IOPS=1, READ #, ISOUR(1:19)
      C READ #, TN,TS,TP,ISUM,UBP(1),KKK)
      C
      C .....
35     51 FORMAT(120(1M))
      72 FORMAT(1X,2H )
      73 FORMAT(1M1)
      NP = 97
      KBASE = 35
      KKK = 75
40     RATIO = 1.0
      THRESM = KKK*KBASE *30
      FAC = 100.
      LIL=KKK*5
      LKK=KKK*1
45     NFI=ND*7
      SPREAD = 0
      NDAYS=1
      READ #, NDAYS,ISKIP,ICOR,MICTH,MDR,IMIST,IPUNCH,IOPS
50     IF (IMIST .EQ. 1) ISKIP=ICOR*1
      IF (MICTH .EQ. 1) MODNAME = 7HLINER
      IF (MICTH .EQ. 2) MODNAME = 7HEXPNTL
      IF (MICTH .EQ. 3) MODNAME = 7HPAROL
      IF (MICTH.NE.0) READ #, RATIO,THRESM,SPREAD
      IF (IPUNCH.NE.0.AND.MICTH.NE.0) PUNCH #, RATIO,THRESM,SPREAD
55     THRESK = THRESM*KBASE*1
      C
      C 2000 CONTINUE

```

D - 13

```

C
60  C      WRITE(I4,73)
C      NCAYS = NUMBER OF DAYS          (14)
C      NCASES = NUMBER OF DATA READINGS IN A DAY (14)
C      USUALLY = NUMBER OF HOURS OF DATA IN A DAY
C      SITE = SITE NAME                (10A5)
65  C      MON = MONTH
C      DAY = DAY
C      KRASF = BASELINE LEVEL IN UH
C      FAC = CORRESPONDUS TO THE DECIMAL PLACE IN CDF
70  C      READ(4,10) NCASES,(SITE(I),I=1,10),MON,DAY,YEAR
C      IF(PUNCH,NE,0) WRITE(9,10) NCASES,(SITE(I),I=1,10),MON,DAY,YEAR
75  C      10 FORMAT(I4,Y4,10A5,3A4)
C      WRITE (6,50)
C      WRITE (6,51) (SITE(I),I=1,10)
C      51 FORMAT (3X,7MSITE = ,10A5)
C      WRITE (6,52) MON,DAY,YEAR,NCASES
C      52 FORMAT(3X,7MDATE = ,3A4,15X,8MSHEETS = ,I4)
C      IF (M/TM,NE,0) WRITE(6,55) RATIO,THRESHM,SPRFAN,MONNAME
80  C      55 FORMAT(10X,TIME COMPRESSION RATIO = ,F5.2,10X,DPHMAN THRESHOLD
C      LEVEL = ,I3,10X,SPREAD = ,I3,10X,A7,1X,MODEL*)
C      WRITE(4,50)
C      DO 100 M=1,KKK
C      LDR(M) = 0
85  C      LDR(M) = 0
C      NDR(M) = 0
C      100 CONTINUE
C      DO 99 M = LKK,LLL
C      LDR(M) = 0
C      LDBA(M) = 0
90  C      49 CONTINUE
C      IF(I5KTP,FG,1) GO TO 96
C      DO 95 YI = 1,NPL
C      DO 95 JJ = 1,NP
95  C      Y(I,I,JJ) = 0.
C      96 CONTINUE
C      KSIJH=0
C      MMAX=0
C      MMIN=500
100  C      NCASE=1
C      TS=00,00
C      TP=00,00
C      KDAY=0
C      NIGHT=0
105  C
C      1000 CONTINUE
C
C      REAM IN NUMBER OF SAMPLES
110  C
C      NDR(N) = NUMBER OF SAMPLES IN RIN N
C      NDBA(N) = NDBIN) FOR WHOLE DAY
C      ISIJH = SUMMATION OF NDBIN) FOR THE HOUR=NUMBER OF SAMPLES FOR THE HOUR
C      KSIJM = SUMMATION OF NDR(N) FOR THE DAY
C

```

```

110 C          IN = SHEET NUMBER
C          TS = TIME START
C          TF = TIME FINISH
C          NSUM = TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLES/100
C          DRP(I) = PERCENT OF THE TIME LEVEL EXCEEDS R1(I) *IX
120 WRITE(A,7C)
      DO 53 I = 1,LKK
      DRP(I) = 0.
      NDR(I) = 0
53 CONTINUE
125 IF(IOPC.EQ.1) READ *, (ISOUR(I),I=1,19)
      DO 47 I = 1,19
      IF (ISOUR(I) .LT. 0) ISOUR(I) = 0
47 CONTINUE
      READ *, IN,TS,TF,ISUM,(DRP(I),I=1,KKK)
      IF(IOPC.NE.1) WRITE(0,59) NCASE,(SITF(I),I=1,10)*MON,NAY,YEAR
50 FORMAT(2X,(3,5X,18MMOURLY INFORMATION,5X,10A5,10X,7A4/)
      IF(IOPC.EQ.1) WRITE(0,60) NCASE,(SITF(I),I=1,10)*ISOUR(I),ISOUR(2)
1  MON,NAY,YEAR
60 FORMAT(2X,(3,5X,18MMOURLY INFORMATION,5X,10A5,*RATING-DES*,I)
1 *FVLE*,I,5X,3A4/)
      IF (NDR .EQ. 1) GO TO 102
      DO 54 IJ = 1,KKK
      NDR(IJ) = TSUM*(DBP(IJ)-DBP(IJ+1))*RATIO/(100.*FAC) + .5
      IF (NDR(IJ) .LT. 0) GO TO 499
140 54 CONTINUE
      GO TO 103
102 CONTINUE
      DO 56 IJ = 1,KKK
      NDR(IJ) = NDR(IJ)
145 NDR(IJ) = NDR(IJ)*RATIO
56 CONTINUE
103 CONTINUE
      WRITE(0,61) TS,TF,IN,ISUM
61 FORMAT(3X,AMMOUR *,3X,F5.2,1X,F5.2,5X,13MSHEET NUMBER*,13,
11X,19NUMBER OF SAMPLES *,17)
      IF(IOPC.EQ.1) WRITE(0,21) (ISOUR(I),I=3,13)
21 FORMAT(1X,*SOURCE COUNTS(TRANSPORTATION),EMVH*,I2,*SAMPL*,I2,*J
3ET*,
1 I2,*COPT*,I2,*RAIL*,I2,*THUCK*,I2,*AUTO*,I2,*RUS*,I2,*
4CYC*,I2
2 *,*REVH*,I2,*WCHFT*,I2)
      IF(IOPC.EQ.1) WRITE(0,22)(ISOUR(I),I=14,19)
22 FORMAT(1X,*SOURCE COUNTS (EQUIP),CHSTR*,I2,*YARD*,I2,*FCTRY*,
1 I2,*HOUSE*,I2,* (MISC) BBS*,I2,*LSPKR*,I2)
160 IF(IPUNCH.NE.0) PUNCH*(IN,TS,TF,TSUM,(NDR(I),I=1,10)
IF(IPUNCH.NE.0) PUNCH*(NDR(I),I=1,29)
IF(IPUNCH.NE.0) PUNCH*(NDR(I),I=29,41)
IF(IPUNCH.NE.0) PUNCH*(NDR(I),I=41,54)
IF(IPUNCH.NE.0) PUNCH*(NDR(I),I=54,KKK)
165 IF (MIDT.NE. 0) WRITE(A,55) RATIO,THRESH,SPHEAD,MIDNAME
C          SEARCH FOR LMIN
      DO 70A N = 1,KKK
      IF (NDR(N) = 1) 70A:701:701
701 CONTINUE
      LMIN = N*KRASE-1
      GO TO 702

```

51 - 9

D - 16

```

704 CONTINUE
C SEARCH FOR LMAX
175 707 CONTINUE
    DO 703 N = 1, KKK
    MPP = KKK - N + 1
    IF (NDR(MPP) = 1) 703, 705, 705
705 CONTINUE
    LMAX = MPP * KHASE + 1
180 GO TO 706
703 CONTINUE
704 CONTINUE
    L1 = I * MIN * KHASE + 1
    L2 = LMAX * KHASE + 1
185 IF (MTCM, NE, 0) CALL MODEL(INDR, MCH, DRP)
    IF (MTCM, EQ, 0, AND, MCH, EQ, 1) CALL CUMUL(INDR, ISUM, DRP)
    WRITE (6, 61)
61 FORMAT (A, 3MRA, 4X, 21HNUMBER OF OCCURRENCES, 30A, ATTENTION: THE F
190 IRFQUENCY DISTRIBUTION IS NORMAL (SF, 0, 0, CUMUL DIST, 0)
    IF (MIST, EQ, 1) GO TO 707
C CONTROL FOR NIGHTTIME PENALTY
    IF (TF, LE, 7, 20, OR, TF, GE, 22, 20) GO TO 921
    KDAY = KNAY + 1
195 DO 116 I = 1, KKK
    LDR(I) = NDR(I)
116 CONTINUE
    DO 714 I = LKK, LLL
    LDR(I) = 0
714 CONTINUE
    GO TO 925
200 921 CONTINUE
C APPLY 100% PENALTY FOR NIGHT
    NIGHT = NIGHT + 1
    DO 716 I = 1, 5
205 LDR(I) = 0
716 CONTINUE
    DO 115 KL = 1, KKK
    LP = L * R
210 LDR(LP) = NDR(KL)
115 CONTINUE
525 CONTINUE
    IF (ISKIP, EQ, 1) GO TO 2010
C SUMMATION OF NUMBER OF SAMPLES FOR THE DAY
    DO 105 JJ = 1, KKK
215 NDR(JJ) = NDR(JJ) + NDBA(JJ)
105 CONTINUE
    DO 110 JJ = 1, LLL
    LDBA(JJ) = LDB(JJ) + LDBA(JJ)
220 110 CONTINUE
    KSUM = KSUM + ISUM
    IF (LMAX, GT, MMAX) MMAX = LMAX
    IF (LMTN, LT, MMIN) MMIN = LMIN
C PRINT OUT AMPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION
C CALCULATE LNF3
225 C PRINT OUT LNF5, INI AND LNP (GAUSSTAN)
2010 CONTINUE
C*****
    CALL FREQD(INDR, DRP, ISUM, LMTN, LMAX)

```

```

230      WRITE (6,7A) LMAX
        CALL LDBN(DBP,JAL)
        WRITE(A,83) LMIN
C*****
        IF(IHIST ,EQ. 1) GO TO 97
235      7A FORMAT(1G,7HLMAX = ,I3)
        83 FORMAT (10X,7HLMIN = ,I3/)
        84 FORMAT (3X,6HTNI = ,F5.1,20X,14HVARIANCE (L) =,F7.4,10X,10HMFAN (L) =,
          1) ,F7.4)
        85 FORMAT(3X,17HLNP (GAUSSIAN) = ,F5.1,5X
          1,34H LNP=MAX FOR AIRCRAFT SUGGESTED)
240      C CALCULATE LNP (GAUSSIAN) AND INI
        DD = JAL(1)-JAL(3)
        NPL=JAL(2)+DD*DD*DD/60.
        TNIM=,DD*(JAL(3)-30.)
        L50 = JAL(2)
245      C COMPUTE LAV AND MEAN
        C COMPUTE SIGMA AND LNP
C*****
        CALL AVECRAN(NDB,ISUM,LMAX,LMIN,VE,AV,AMEAN,VAM)
C*****
250      SIG = SQRT(VAR)
        WRITE (6,84) TNIM *VAR*VE
        WRITE (6,85) NPL
        ALFOOM = AV/AMEAN
        WRITE(A,87) AV
255      WRITE (6,89) AMEAN,ALFOOM
        LND=AV+2.5A*SIG
        WRITE(A,89) LNP
        89 FORMAT(3X,8HLEAVE=,F5.1,5X,10HLEG/LPEAN=,F6.3)
260      WRITE (6,72)
        WRITE (6,50)
        WRITE(A,73)
        IF(ISKIP ,EQ. 1) GO TO 97
C*****
265      C NOTE1 GRAPH NUMBER 1 REPRESENTS L10 STATISTIC
        C NOTE1 GRAPH NUMBER 2 REPRESENTS L50 STATISTIC
        C NOTE1 GRAPH NUMBER 3 REPRESENTS L90 STATISTIC
        C NOTE1 GRAPH NUMBER 4 REPRESENTS LMAX STATISTIC
        C
        TMS = Y5*(TS-IFIX(T5))*10./0.
        TMF = YF*(TF-IFIX(TF))*10./0.
        TMX = (TMS+TMF)/2.
        IF(TMF .LT. TMS) IMX = (TMS+24.*TMF)/2.
        NPX = TMX*4.*1.
        IF(NPX .GT. 97.) NPX = NPX-97.
270      C*****
        Y(1,1,NPX) = JAL(1)
        Y(1,2,NPX) = JAL(2)
        Y(1,3,NPX) = AV
        Y(1,4,NPX) = LMAX
280      Y(1,5,NPX) = JAL(3)
        Y(1,6,NPX) = JAL(4)
        IF(TMF .LT. TMS) Y(1,7,NPX) = Y5.
        AL10(INCASE)=JAL(1)
        AL50(INCASE)=JAL(2)
        AL90(INCASE)=AV
285

```

D - 17

```

      DF1(NCASE) = ISUM
      ALM(NCASE) = AMEAN
C.....
290  IF(NCASE.EQ.1) XI=TMS
      47 NCASE=NCASF+1
      IF(NCASE.LF.NCASES) GO TO 1000
      IF(ISKIP.FG.1) GO TO 995
C.....
295  C START CALCULATIONS FOR THE WHOLE DAY
      C FIND PERCENT OF TOTAL
C.....
      C COMPUTE LAV AND MEAN
      C COMPUTE LNP
      C FIND SIGMA AND LNP
300  C PRINT OUT AMPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION FOR THE DAY
      CALL AVERAR(NDBA,KSUM,HMAX,MMIN,AVE,AAVER,DAMEAN,VARDAY)
      CALL AVERAR(LDBA,KSUM,HMAX,MMIN,LUMM,LDN,DMEAN,VARDN)
      WRITE(6,72)
305  WRITE(6,86) NDAY,(SITE(I),I=1,10),MON,DAY,YEAR
      WRITE(6,67)
      LI = MMIN-KBASE+1
      LP = HMAX-KBASE+1
      CALL CUMUL(NDBA,KSUM,DRP)
      CALL FREQ(NDBA,DRP,KSUM,MMIN,HMAX)
310  SIGM = SQRT(VARDAY)
C.....
      DEQOM = AAVER/DAMEAN
      LNP=AAVER*2.56/SIGM
315  #6 FORMAT(1X,13,10X,17MO,AILY INFORMATION,5X,10AS,3A4/)
      WRITE(6,72)
      WRITE(6,76) HMAX
      CALL LDBN(DBP,JAL)
      WRITE(6,83) MMIN
320  TNID=4.*(JAL(1)-JAL(3))+(JAL(3)-30.)
      WRITE(6,84) TNID,VARDAY,AVE
      WRITE(6,87) AAVER
      WRITE(6,89) DAMEAN,DEQOM
      WRITE(6,90) KDAY,NIGHT,VARUN
325  WRITE(6,88) LDN
      WRITE(6,89) LNP
      WRITE(6,72)
      LD50 = JAL(2)
      #7 FORMAT(3X,AHLEQ = ,F5.1)
      #8 FORMAT(3X,AHLDN = ,F5.1,5X,
330  174W 55 IS EPA (UENI) INTERFERENCE LFVFL. 75 IS EPA INFNT,HEARING
      2PRNT,LEVEL)
      #9 FORMAT(3X,AHLNP = ,F5.1,5X
      1,34M LNP,RS MAX FOM AIRCRAFT SUGGESTED)
335  #4 FORMAT(2X,13MLDN BASED ON ,12,14H DAYTIME HOURS AND ,12,16H NIGHTTIME HOIN
      TIME HOURS,10X,16MVARIANCE (LDN) =,F7.4)
      WRITE(6,50)
      AA10(NDAY) = JAL(1)
      AA15(NDAY) = JAL(2)
      AA10(NDAY) = AAVER
340  DDFL(NDAY) = KSUM
C.....
      CALL CORREL4(NCASES,DEL,AL1U,AL50,AL0,ALM)

```

```

C*****
340 STP = 0,25
NR = 1
C*****
CALL RAGEL4(NP,1,NFL,NP,X1,STEP,Y,1,1),NU21
C*****
350 WRITE(A,990)
WRITE(A,991)
990 FORMAT(5X,GRAPH 1 = L10, GRAPH 2 = L50, GRAPH 3 = LEQ STATISTICS
IICS*)
991 FORMAT(5X,GRAPH 4 = LMAX, GRAPH 5 = L90, GRAPH 6 = L1 STATIST
IICS*)
355 996 NDAY=NDAY+1
IF(NDAY.LE.NDAYS) GO TO 2000
C*****
IF(IKSTP.EQ.1) GO TO 997
360 PRINT 50
IF(ICOR.EQ.1) GO TO 997
PRINT #10
C*****
CALL CORREL4(NDAYS,UDEL,AAL10,AAL50,AAL90,DAMEAN)
365 R11 FORMAT(5X,CORRELATIONS FOR THE WHOLE SET BASED ON NDAYS*)
PRINT 50
GO TO 997
C*****
999 CONTINUE
370 PRINT 998
998 FORMAT(1X,INPUT DATA ERRORS)
997 CONTINUE
END

```

D - 19

```

1 SUBROUTINE CUMUL(NDB,ISUM,DBP)
DIMENSION NDB(76),DBP(76)
COMMON /LEQ/LEQ/FREQ/KBASE,FAC/LIUMP/L1,L2
2 INTEGRO DBP
C FIND TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLES FOR THE HOUR
ISUM=0
DO 10 I=N1,L2
ISUM=ISUM+NDB(I)
10 CONTINUE
10 DBP(I) = 100.*FAC
DUMMY = DBP(I)
DO 11 IJ=1,KKK
DUMMY = DUMMY +100.*FAC*NDB(IJ)/FLOAT(ISUM)
DBP(IJ,I) = DUMMY
11 CONTINUE
10 RETURN
END

```



```

1      SUBROUTINE MODELS(NDR,MOR,DMP)
      DIMENSION NDR(76),DMP(76)
      COMMON/LUMP/L1,L2 /MODEL/THRESK,SPREAD,RATIO,ISUM,ICTH
      INTERF = THRESK,SPREAD,UBP,THRES1,THRES2
      IF(MICTH .EQ. 0) GO TO 900
      IF(THRESK .GT. L2) GO TO 100
      THRES1 = THRESK*SPREAD
      THRES2 = THRESK*SPREAD
      IF(THRES2 .GT. L2) THRES2 = L2
      DO 29 IJ = THRES2,L2
      NDR(IJ+1) = NDR(IJ+1)/RATIO
29      CONTINUE
      IF (MICTH =2) 30,40,50
      C      LINEAR MODEL
      30 DO 31 IJ = THRESK,THRES2
      NDR(IJ) = NDR(IJ)*IJ*(THRES2-IJ)/FLOAT(SPREAD)*1./RATIO
      31 CONTINUE
      GO TO 40
      C      EXPONENTIAL MODEL
      40 DO 41 IJ = THRESK,THRES2
      NDR(IJ) = NDR(IJ)*IJ*EXP(-(THRESK-IJ)/FLOAT(SPREAD))*1./RATIO
      41 CONTINUE
      GO TO 40
      C      PARABOLIC MODEL
      50 DO 51 IJ = THRESK,THRES2
      NDR(IJ) = NDR(IJ)*(IJ*(1-(IJ-THRESK)/FLOAT(SPREAD)))**2*1./RATIO
      51 CONTINUE
      GO TO 40
100 WRITE(A,101)
101 FORMAT(5X,'BYPASSING MODELS BECAUSE THRESHOLD LEVEL TOO HIGH')
      IF(MOR .NE. 1) GO TO 110
      80 CONTINUE
      CALL CHMUL(NDR,ISUM,DMP)
110 RETURN
300 CONTINUE
      WRITE(A,99)
      99 FORMAT(5X,'CHECK YOUR MICTH INPUT - YOU SILLY - MODELS ERROR')
      END

```

D - 20

```

1      SUBROUTINE LORN(UBP,JAL)
      DIMENSION DRP(76),AAL(14),SPL(14),JAL(4)
      REAL JAL
      INTEGER DRP
      COMMON/LEO/KKK/FREQ/KBASE,FAC
      C      FIND L1,L10,L100,L50,L90,L99
      AAL(1) = 0.001
      AAL(2) = 0.01
      AAL(3) = .05
      AAL(4) = .1
      AAL(5) = .2
      AAL(6) = .3
      AAL(7) = .4

```

```

15      AAL(9) = .5
      AAL(10) = .6
      AAL(11) = .7
      AAL(12) = .8
      AAL(13) = .9
      AAL(14) = .99
20      C USE LAGRANGIAN INTERPOLATION
      C FNM1 = CDF(N-1), FN = CDF(N), FNP1 = CDF(N+1)
      MM = 1
      DO 707 NN = 1, KKK
      KK = KKK - NN + 1
25      704 CONTINUE
      FN = DBP(KK) / (FAC * 100.)
      DIF = FN - AAL(MM)
      IF (DIF) 707, 709, 708
30      709 CONTINUE
      SPL(MM) = KK * KRASE - 1.
      GO TO 710
      711 CONTINUE
      IF (BC .EQ. 0.) GO TO 103
35      SPL(MM) = -CC / BC
      GO TO 710
      712 CONTINUE
      SPL(MM) = SQRT(-CC / AC)
      GO TO 710
40      708 CONTINUE
      FNP1 = DBP(KK + 1) / (FAC * 100.)
      IF (KK .GE. 70) FNP1 = 0.
      FNM1 = FN
      IF (KK .GT. 1) FNM1 = DBP(KK - 1) / (FAC * 100.)
45      AC = (FNP1 + FNM1) / 2. - FN
      R = (FNP1 - FNM1) / 2.
      IXN = KK * KRASE - 1
      RC = R * 2. * AC * IXN
      CC = AC * IXN * IXN - R * IXN + FN - AAL(MM)
50      IF (AC .EQ. 0.) GO TO 711
      IF (BC .EQ. 0.) GO TO 712
      ARG = RC * BC + 4. * AC * CC
      RAD = SQRT(ARG)
      SPL(MM) = -(RC + RAD) / (2. * AC)
55      710 CONTINUE
      IF (MM .GT. 14) GO TO 102
      MM = MM + 1
      GO TO 704
      707 CONTINUE
60      102 CONTINUE
      WRITE (6, 77) SPL(1), SPL(7), SPL(11)
      WRITE (6, 78) SPL(2), SPL(8), SPL(12)
      WRITE (6, 79) SPL(3)
      WRITE (6, 80) SPL(4)
65      WRITE (6, 81) SPL(5), SPL(9), SPL(13)
      WRITE (6, 82) SPL(6), SPL(10), SPL(14)
      77 FORMAT (10X, 7HL, 1) = F6.2, 10X, 7HL, 90 = F6.2)
      78 FORMAT (10X, 7HL, 1) = F6.2, 10X, 7HL, 50 = F6.2, 10X, 7HL, 90 = F6.2)
      79 FORMAT (10X, 7HL, 5) = F6.2)
      80 FORMAT (10X, 7HL, 5) = F6.2)
      81 FORMAT (10X, 7HL, 10) = F6.2)

```

```

194H FHWA DESIGN LEVELS 6U(PARKS) 70(HES,SCH,CHC,HOSP,PUBLIC REC
2 AREAS,LIH,SPT ARENAS,HOTELS,ETC)
N1 FORMAT(10X,7HL20 = ,F6.2,10X,7HL60 = ,F6.2,10X,7HL95 = ,F6.2)
N2 FORMAT(10X,7HL30 = ,F6.2,10X,7HL70 = ,F6.2,10X,7HL99 = ,F6.2)
75 JAL(1) = SPL(4)
JAL(2) = SPL(8)
JAL(3) = SPL(12)
JAL(4) = SPL(12)
RETURN
80 103 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,91)
91 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN LAGRANGIAN INTERPOLATION : BOTH A=0; B=0')
RETURN
END

```

```

1 SUBROUTINE FREDD(NDX,KUM,ISUM,LMIN,LMAX)
2 DIMENSION NDX(76),PPM(107),KUM(76)
3 PLOT FREQUENCY AND CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTIONS
4 COMMON/FREQ/KBASE,FAC
5 DATA STAR/1H/, BLANK/1H /,SYM/1H/
6 MSTOR = 107
7 ONESTAR = .003
8 WRITE(4,55)
9 55 FORMAT(1H0)
10 L1 = LMIN-KBASE+1
L2 = LMAX-KBASE+1
11 DO 98 N = L1,L2
NS = N-KBASE+1
12 IF(NDR(N),LE,0) NDX(N)=0
DO 103 I = 1,MSTOR
13 PPP(I) = BLANK
103 CONTINUE
PERCENT = NDX(N)/FLOAT(ISUM)
14 ICOUNT = PERCENT/ONESTAR+.5
IF(ICOUNT,EQ,0) GO TO 104
DO 104 I = 1,ICOUNT
15 IF(I,GT,MSTOR) GO TO 109
PPP(I) = STAR
104 CONTINUE
109 CONTINUE
NCUM = KUM(N)/100.,.4H
16 PPP(NCUM) = SYM
CIUM = KUM(N)/FAC
17 PRINT 71, NS, NDR(N), (PPP(I),I=1,MSTOR), CIUM
30 94 CONTINUE
71 FORMAT(5X,I3,2X,I6,3X,107A1,1X,F7.3)
WRITE(6,75) ISUM
74 FORMAT(3X,26H TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLES = ,I7/)
WRITE(6,54)
35 54 FORMAT(1HR)
RETURN
END

```

D - 23

```

1      SUBROUTINE AVEWAG(NNH,ISUM,LMAX,LMIN,VE,AV,AMEAN,VAR)
      DIMENSION NDB(76)
      COMPUTES FOLLOWING STATISTICS
      VE = MEU I, AV = LCU
      AMEAN = MEU P, VAR = VARIANCE OF DECIBELS
      COMMON/FREQ/KBASE,FAC
      AVE = 0.
      UMEAN=0.
      UMIN=0.
      VAP = 0.
10     L1 = LMIN-KBASE+1
      LP = LMAX-KBASE+1
      DO 106 LL = L1,LP
      X = LL-KBASE+1
      PP = 0.1*X
      QQ = 5*PP
      XPD=FLOAT(NDB(LL))/FLOAT(ISUM)
      UN=XPD*10.**PP
      UMN=XPD*10.**QQ
20     UMN=UN,UMN
      UMEAN=UMEAN+UMN
      VER = V*XPD
      AVE = AVE+VER
      VARI = X*VER
20     VAP = VAP+VARI
10A    CONTINUE
      VE = AVE
      AV=10.*ALOG10(UMN)
      AMEAN=10.*ALOG10(UMEAN)
      VAR = VAP+AVE*AVE
      RETURN
      END
    
```

```

1      SUBROUTINE CORREL4(NCASES,DEL,AL10,AL50,ALEQ,ALM)
      DIMENSION DEL(24),AL10(24),AL50(24),ALEQ(24),ALM(24)
      .....
      CALCULATIONS FOR CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS
      IF CORRELATIONS ARE NOT REQUIRED, THEN REMOVE
      1. SECOND CONTINUATION CARD IN DIMENSION STATEMENT
      2. CARDS DEFINING AL10,AL50,ALEQ,ALM
10     DEL = WEIGHTING TIME OVER THE PARTICULAR PERIOD - AN ARRAY
      DDELT = TOTAL TIME FOR ALL CASES
      A = CORRELATION BETWEEN L10 AND L AVERAGE
      B = CORRELATION BETWEEN L50 AND L AVERAGE
      C = CORRELATION BETWEEN L10 AND L50
10     .....
      R1=R2=R3=R4=R5=R6=S10=S50=SLO=SMN=0.
      S10=(UN50+SUMEU+SUMNDD)/L1=0.
      DO 117 I = 1,NCASES
      DDELT = DEL(I)+DDELT
    
```

```

20 117 CONTINUE
    DO 118 I=1,NCASES
    SUM10 = SUM10+(AL10(I))*DEL(I)/DDFLY
    SUM50 = SUM50+(AL50(I))*DEL(I)/DDELTY
    SUMEQ = SUMEQ+(ALEQ(I))*DEL(I)/DDFLY
25  SUMMN = SUMMN+(ALM(I))*DEL(I)/DDELTY
    114 CONTINUE
    DO 111 I = 1,NCASES
    S10=S10+(AL10(I)-SUM10)**2*(DEL(I))
    S50=S50+(AL50(I)-SUM50)**2*(DEL(I))
30  SEQ=SEQ+(ALEQ(I)-SUMEQ)**2*(DEL(I))
    SMN = SMN+(ALM(I)-SUMMN)**2*(DEL(I))
    R1=R1+(AL10(I)-SUM10)*(ALEQ(I)-SUMEQ)*DEL(I)
    R4=R4+(AL10(I)-SUM10)*(ALM(I)-SUMMN)*DEL(I)
    R2=R2+(AL50(I)-SUM50)*(ALEQ(I)-SUMEQ)*DEL(I)
    R5=R5+(AL50(I)-SUM50)*(ALM(I)-SUMMN)*DEL(I)
70  R3=R3+(AL50(I)-SUM50)*(AL10(I)-SUM10)*DEL(I)
    R4=R4+(ALEQ(I)-SUMEQ)*(ALM(I)-SUMMN)*DEL(I)
    111 CONTINUE
40  S10=SQR(S10)
    S50=SQR(S50)
    SEQ=SQR(SEQ)
    SMN = SQR(SMN)
    A = R1/(S10*S10)
    B = R2/(S50*S50)
50  C = R3/(S10*S50)
    D = R4/(S10*SMN)
    E = R5/(S50*SMN)
    F = R4/(SEQ*SMN)
    WRITE(A,R12) A,D
    WRITE(A,R13) B,E
    WRITE(A,R14) C,F
812 FORMAT(5X,7HREQ10 =,F6.3,5X,7HRMN10 =,F6.3)
813 FORMAT(5X,7HREQ50 =,F6.3,5X,7HRMN50 =,F6.3)
814 FORMAT(5X,7HREQ50 =,F6.3,5X,7HRMNEQ =,F6.3)
55 *****
    RETURN
    END

```

D - 24

```

1  SUBROUTINE BAREL4 (NH,NFF,NFL,NP,NI,STEP,Y,KSTEP,ND1,ND2)          NMOD0010
    BAREL PLOTS UP TO FOUR MULTIVALUED FUNCTIONS. N,MACON SM CTR SMU    DECK 2
5  THIS IS A REVISED VERSION OF BAREL. REVISED 07/17/74 BY D A R NELSON JR.
    NP      MAXIMUM NO. OF VALUES OF ANY Y(A) AT ANY PARTICULAR X.
10  NFF     NO. OF FIRST FUNCTION TO BE PLOTTED.
    NFL     NO. OF LAST FUNCTION TO BE PLOTTED. MAXIMUM IS NINE.
    NP      NO. OF POINTS ON X AXIS FOR WHICH Y VALUES ARE TO BE PLOTTED.

```



```

70  P1AST=V+STFP                                RAGL0240
    PAFXT=V+STFP                                RAGL0290
    B1AST=ABS(P1AST)                            RAGL0300
    ANPXT=ABS(PNEXT)                            RAGL0310
    AV=ABS(A)                                    RAGL0370
    IF(A1ACT .LT. AX)GO TO 30                    RAGL0370
    IF(ANEXT .LT. AX)GO TO 30                    RAGL0340
    DO 294 N=1,121                                RAGL0350
    PPP(N)=PLUS                                  RAGL0340
80  294 CONTINUE                                  RAGL0370
    GO TO 72                                      RAGL0380

C
C  INITIALIZE PRINTOUT ARRAY.....
90  37 DO 500 N=1,121                                RAGL0390
    PPP(N)=HLANK                                  RAGL0400
500 CONTINUE                                  RAGL0410

C
C  GENERATE PRINTOUT ARRAY.....
90  37 HPMAX = 0.
    DO 600 I=NF,NFL                                NM000470
    DO 400 K=1,NR                                    RAGL0470
    RP=ARS(Y(K,I,J))/STFP                          RAGL0440
    IF(RP .LT. .5)GO TO 560                        RAGL0450
    IF (HPMAX .LT. RP) HPMAX = RP
    IP = RP
    ERP = RP-IP
    IPP=IP                                          RAGL0500
    IF(ERP .GT. .5) IPP = IP+1
70  IF(IPP .GT. 120)GO TO 560                        JM000520
    IF(Y(K,I,J) .LT. 0.01)GO TO 560                NM000570
    PPP(IPP+1)=SYMBOL(IPP+1)                        JM000540
560 PPP(I) =PLUS                                    JM000570
400 CONTINUE                                  RAGL0580
600 CONTINUE                                  RAGL0590

100 IF (HPMAX .LT. NCASE) GO TO 800
    IF (NCASE .LT. 10) PPP(1CASE) = SYMBOL(1CASE+1)
    IF (NCASE .GE. 10 .AND. NCASE .LT. 20) PPP(1CASE-1) = SYMBOL(2)
    IF (NCASE .GE. 10 .AND. NCASE .LT. 20) PPP(1CASE) = SYMBOL(1CASE-9)
    IF (NCASE .GE. 20) PPP(1CASE-1) = SYMBOL(3)
110 IF (NCASE .GE. 20) PPP(1CASE) = SYMBOL(1CASE-14)
    NCASF = NCASE+1
800 CONTINUE

C
C  PRINT PRINTOUT ARRAY.....
110 WRITE(A,1)X,PPP                                RAGL0600
    1 FORMAT(F8.2,1X,121A1)                        RAGL0610

C
    X=X+STFP                                        RAGL0620
    IF(X .GT. 24.) X = 0.
120 700 CONTINUE                                  RAGL0670
    WRITE(A,5)                                       NM000671
    5 FORMAT(1MR)                                    NM000632
    RETURN                                           NM000673
    END                                             RAGL0640

```

U - 26

2 HOURLY INFORMATION SITE#1, WK.DAY#2, *KAY COUNTY*PANC1*OKL,HATTING#DFS#0,EVL#2 6-16-1975

MOUR = 12.00-13.00 SHEET NUMBER=302 NUMBER OF SAMPLES = 490
 SOURCE COUNTS (TRANSPORTATION) FVHM = 1, SMPL = U, JET = 0, COPI = 0, RAIL = 0, TRUCK = 0, AUTO = 2, BUS = 2, CYC = 1, RECVH = 0, CRAFT = 0
 SOURCE COUNTS (EQUIP) CNSTR = 0, YARD = 0, FCTRY = 0, HOUSE = 1, (MISC) DOGS = 0, SPKR = 0
 ATTENTION! THE FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION IS UNNORMALISED

ORA	NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES	CUMUL. DISTN
46	11	100.000
47	11	97.750
48	42	95.510
49	42	86.930
50	44	74.360
51	44	69.380
52	30	60.400
53	30	54.280
54	24	44.160
55	24	43.240
56	17	38.360
57	17	34.890
58	14	31.420
59	14	24.570
60	20	24.710
61	20	21.630
62	9	17.950
63	9	15.710
64	17	13.870
65	17	10.400
66	4	8.930
67	4	5.710
68	4	4.480
69	4	3.460
70	4	2.440
71	4	1.630
72	1	.810
73	1	.610
74	1	.400
75	1	.200

TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLES = 490

LMAX = 75
 L.1 = 75.50 L40 = 55.67 L80 = 49.81
 L1 = 71.77 L50 = 53.69 L90 = 48.72
 L5 = 67.58
 L10 = 64.75 FHWA DESIGN LEVELS 60 (PARKS) 70 (REF, SCH, CHC, HOSP, PUBLIC REC AREAS, LIR, SPT ARENAS, HNTPLS, PTC)
 L20 = 61.40 L60 = 52.05 L95 = 48.09
 L30 = 58.47 L70 = 50.93 L99 = 46.57
 LMIN = 46

YNI = 82.8 VARIANCE (L) = 40.4999 MEAN (L) = 54.9998
 LNP (GAUSSIAN) = 74.0 LNP=85 MAX FOR AIRCRAFT SUGGESTED
 LEU = 60.0
 LMEAN = 57.7 LEQ/LMEAN = 1.050
 LNP = 70.9 LNP=85 MAX FOR AIRCRAFT SUGGESTED

D - 27

.....

1 DAILY INFORMATION SITE#3, WK.DAY#2,

*KAY COUNTY, PONCA, OKL 6-16-1975

DBA	NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES	ATTENTION! THE FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION IS NORMALISED	CUMUL DISTR
46	22	*****	100.000
47	22	*****	94.470
48	145	*****	94.940
49	145	*****	86.490
50	131	*****	74.830
51	131	*****	67.750
52	41	*****	58.660
53	41	*****	52.350
54	80	*****	44.600
55	80	*****	40.600
56	41	*****	34.950
57	41	*****	32.100
58	35	*****	29.260
59	35	*****	26.830
60	47	*****	24.410
61	47	*****	21.420
62	37	*****	19.440
63	37	*****	15.880
64	42	*****	13.310
65	42	*****	9.980
66	22	*****	6.650
67	22	*****	4.270
68	9	*****	3.880
69	9	*****	3.250
70	11	*****	2.630
71	11	*****	1.870
72	4	*****	1.100
73	4	*****	.900
74	4	*****	.610
75	4	*****	.330
76	4	*****	.130
77	4	*****	.130
78	4	*****	.130
79	4	*****	.130
80	4	*****	.130
81	4	*****	.130
82	1	*****	.130
83	1	*****	.040

0 - 28

TOTAL NUMBER OF SAMPLES = 1442

LMAX = 83 L40 = 55.04 L80 = 49.68
 L1 = 82.55 L50 = 53.31 L90 = 48.77
 L1 = 72.24
 L5 = 67.19
 L10 = 64.99 FMWA DESIGN LEVELS 60 (PARKS) 70 (RES, SCH, CHC, HOSP, PUBLIC REC AREAS, LIB, SPT ARENAS, HOTELS, ETC)
 L20 = 61.48 L60 = 51.83 L45 = 48.28
 L30 = 57.74 L70 = 50.74 L99 = 48.75
 LMIN = 46

TNI = 83.7 VARIANCE (LI) = 40.8658 MEAN (LI) = 54.7885
 LEQ = 61.4
 LMEAN = 57.7 LEQ/LMEAN = 1.064
 LDN BASED ON 3 DAYTIME HOURS AND 0 NIGHTTIME HOURS VARIANCE (LDN) = 40.8658
 LDN = 61.4 55 IS EPA IDENT. INTERFERENCE LEVEL. 75 IS EPA IDENT. HEARING PROT. LEVEL
 LNP = 77.7 LNP#85 MAX FOR AIRCRAFT SUGGESTED

APPENDIX E

This appendix contains a summary of the weather statistics observed and the artillery activity at Fort Sill during the assessment.

APPENDIX E-1

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	77	73	10	160	28.610
2:00	78	79	12	160	28.425
3:00	77	81	11	170	28.435
4:00	77	76	14	160	28.445
5:00	77	76	11	150	28.450
6:00	76	79	12	150	28.460
7:00	75	82	12	150	28.495
8:00	76	79	14	170	28.515
9:00	77	76	15	150	28.535
10:00	79	71	18 G 23	160	28.555
11:00	83	62	23 G 28	170	28.550
12:00	85	57	20 G 26	170	28.540
13:00	86	57	18 G 28	170	28.525
14:00	88	52	20 G 29	160	28.515
15:00	90	50	20 G 26	170	28.510
16:00	90	48	20 G 26	160	28.510
17:00	91	45	24 G 30	160	28.495
18:00	89	48	18 G 25	160	28.495
19:00	87	53	22 G 29	150	28.485
20:00	85	56	24 G 30	160	28.495
21:00	84	56	14 G 21	160	28.510
22:00	82	58	12 G 19	160	28.555
23:00	80	64	10	160	28.590
24:00	78	71	8	160	28.595

June 18, 1975

E - 3

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	77	76	8	150	28.755
2:00	77	73	12	150	28.615
3:00	77	76	12	160	28.630
4:00	77	79	12	160	28.640
5:00	76	81	12	160	28.640
6:00	75	84	13	160	28.650
7:00	75	84	12	160	28.650
8:00	76	81	14	160	28.685
9:00	78	79	14 G 20	170	28.710
10:00	81	69	15 G 27	170	28.710
11:00	83	65	16 G 20	180	28.720
12:00	84	63	14 G 20	180	28.725
13:00	85	59	18	170	28.725
14:00	87	55	16 G 22	160	28.725
15:00	88	53	16	160	28.690
16:00	90	50	15	140	28.685
17:00	88	53	15	140	28.685
18:00	88	51	19 G 24	140	28.685
19:00	87	51	16 G 23	150	28.660
20:00	85	53	16	140	28.660
21:00	83	58	12	140	28.670
22:00	81	60	9	140	28.690
23:00	79	64	8	130	28.720
24:00	78	68	7	130	28.745

June 19, 1975

E - 4

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	77	76	10	140	28.720
2:00	76	73	8	140	28.745
3:00	76	76	7	150	28.750
4:00	74	81	7	150	28.745
5:00	73	84	8	140	28.750
6:00	73	87	7	150	28.750
7:00	73	90	5	150	28.765
8:00	74	87	7	140	28.785
9:00	76	84	12	140	28.795
10:00	79	74	14	150	28.795
11:00	80	68	14	170	28.795
12:00	82	65	10	180	28.795
13:00	87	55	15 G 20	180	28.775
14:00	86	57	11	170	28.750
15:00	89	52	10	190	28.735
16:00	88	53	13	140	28.720
17:00	87	55	12	150	28.710
18:00	87	55	12	160	28.690
19:00	87	55	14	160	28.685
20:00	85	58	14	140	28.675
21:00	83	60	12	140	28.660
22:00	81	64	9	150	28.670
23:00	80	67	10	150	28.710
24:00	79	71	8	140	28.720

June 20, 1975

E-5

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	73	76	10	110	29.685
2:00					
3:00					
4:00					
5:00					
6:00					
7:00	72	87	04	130	28.725
8:00	74	84	10	150	28.735
9:00	77	79	08	160	28.735
10:00	81	67	07	170	28.745
11:00	83	63	10	160	28.720
12:00	82	64	14	170	28.715
13:00	84	61	09	170	28.690
14:00	85	59	08 G 18	170	28.680
15:00	86	55	12 G 18	160	28.645
16:00	87	53	12 G 19	160	28.650
17:00	86	55	14	150	28.630
18:00	82	51	15	130	28.630
19:00	82	60	13	140	28.610
20:00	70	84	02	340	28.670
21:00	72	91	00	000	28.655
22:00	72	71	06	070	28.690
23:00	70	82	09	190	28.745
24:00	71	87	16	140	29.680

June 21, 1975

E - 6

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	76		07	130	28.700
2:00	73	84	02	090	28.675
3:00	64	87	04	360	29.755
4:00	65	84	12	360	29.735
5:00	66	78	22 G 28	040	29.640
6:00	66	81	08	080	28.675
7:00	66	78	04	120	28.675
8:00	66	84	05	210	28.715
9:00	67	84	05	170	28.745
10:00	68	81	04	120	28.775
11:00	70	78	04	140	28.775
12:00	75	73	10	140	28.760
13:00	78	68	09	150	28.760
14:00	80	68	07	180	28.740
15:00	83	72	10	150	28.735
16:00	84	73	10	170	28.720
17:00	85	61	09	170	28.715
18:00	85	63	08	180	28.695
19:00	85	61	10	170	28.695
20:00	83	65	06	160	28.705
21:00	81	69	04	150	28.725
22:00	79	76	04	150	28.750
23:00	78		02	120	
24:00	78		06	140	

June 22, 1975

E-7

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	69	90	04	060	28.895
2:00	76	82	08	150	
3:00	76	79	10	160	
4:00	75	81	07	190	28.740
5:00	74	84	05	150	
6:00	73	87	02	130	
7:00	73	87	03	170	
8:00	75	85	07	150	28.820
9:00	78	79	06	160	28.860
10:00	81	72	06	180	28.860
11:00	83	69	06	180	28.865
12:00	83	69	07	200	28.865
13:00	84	67	08	220	28.860
14:00	76	79	14 G 23	040	28.855
15:00	71	87	16 G 24	080	28.855
16:00	69	90	12 G 16	070	28.875
17:00	70	89	10	120	28.875
18:00	71	87	05	080	28.850
19:00	72	87	02	060	28.830
20:00	73	85	01	100	28.825
21:00	72	88	CALM	CALM	28.825
22:00	72	84	07	140	28.840
23:00	72	84	04	150	28.870
24:00	70	87	CALM	CALM	28.885

June 23, 1975

E-8

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00	75	81	05	150	28.840
2:00	68	93	01	006	28.900
3:00	68	93	01	034	28.885
4:00	68	90	03	002	28.870
5:00	69	90	04	028	28.900
6:00	70	90	04	011	28.905
7:00	70	90	05	012	28.895
8:00	72	90	08	013	28.885
9:00	74	87	10	014	28.900
10:00	77	81	12	015	28.905
11:00	80	76	11	016	28.905
12:00	81	74	11	016	28.915
13:00	84	67	10	016	28.915
14:00	84	67	10	017	28.900
15:00	80	76	03	010	28.860
16:00	82	69	07	018	28.845
17:00	77	84	06	010	28.850
18:00	77	84	02	010	28.855
19:00	76	87	03	020	28.840
20:00	78	82	02	040	28.840
21:00	77	84	CALM	CALM	28.840
22:00	76	88	04	130	28.820
23:00	76	84	04	120	28.840
24:00	75	84	04	140	28.855

June 24, 1975

E - 9

TIME - (HRS)	TEMP (F)	RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)	WIND		BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
			SPEED (KNOTS)	DIRECTION (DEGREES FROM NORTH)	
1:00					
2:00	74	84	05	140	28.825
3:00	72	87	03	140	28.820
4:00	71	90	00	000	28.810
5:00	70	90	02	120	28.800
6:00	69	93	03	120	28.810
7:00	70	90	05	120	28.820
8:00	71	90	08	130	28.835
9:00	73	87	09	013	28.840
10:00	76	81	07	015	28.840
11:00	77	81	06	015	28.825
12:00					
13:00					
14:00					
15:00					
16:00					
17:00					
18:00					
19:00					
20:00					
21:00					
22:00					
23:00					
24:00					

June 25, 1975

APPENDIX E 2

Summary of Firing Activity at
Fort Sill during the assessment

FIRING ORDER NR. 294
For Saturday 21 Jun 75, WEST RANGE

11 Jun 75

SMALL ARMS FIRING

<u>Range</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>
MB 1 Rifle & Pistol	4/31	1200-1800	7.62mm & 45 P
Falcon Rg	AF	0800-1700	20mm & Inert Bombs

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
<u>1/158</u>					
Spc	0730- 2000	159, 173N 176, 179 183, 196W	Kerr Hill	8"H	WMHA MHA
<u>1/160</u>					
Spc	0900- 2400	604, 604W, 624S	Kerr Hill Dalv Hill	105H VT	BBA
<u>45th FA GP</u>					
Spc	0700- 2400	278, 289 280, 293, 283 535, 415	Andrews Hill Indian Hill	8"H VT HES	AGA

FIRING ORDER NR. 295
For Sunday 22 Jun 75, WEST RANGE

11 Jun 75

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
			<u>1/158</u>		
Spc	0700- 1400	159, 173N, 176, 179, 183, 196W	Kerr Hill	8"H	WMHA MHA
			<u>1/160</u>		
Spc	0001- 1400	604, 604N, 624S	Kerr Hill Daly Hill	105H VT	BBA
			<u>45th FA GP</u>		
Spc	0001- 1400	278, 289, 280, 298, 283, 535, 415	Andrews Hill Indian Hill	8"H VT HES	AGA

FIRING ORDER NR. 296
 For Monday 23 Jun 75, WEST RANGE

18 Jun 75

SMALL ARMS FIRING

<u>Range</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>
Rappelling	PC & W	1300-1600	NA

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
<u>Gunnerv</u>					
G002SA	1220-1640	LOW	68E, 68W, 69E, 69	105H Inc ICM	MHA
<u>FAS BDE</u>					
Spc	0830-1630	628		14.5MMG	SMA
<u>1/30</u>					
Spc	0800-	284	Indian Hill Apache Ridge	8"H VT	AGA
<u>Arty Bd</u>					
Spc	0730-1800	173, 82 82 706	Daly Hill McKenzie Hill	105H 155H 8"H	WMHA JRA ARA CCA
<u>III CA</u>					
Spc	1800-2400	32334 35814* 32729 36427 32881 36929	170N, 171, 175, 177	8"HVT HES *ICM	QCA

NONFIRING ACTIVITIES

TAD (AS32NG)	1240-1640	Sta 30 & 33: Mission Hill & FP 177E
" (ANXXAB)	1240-2330	Land Navigation, Ketch Lake to South
FAS BDE	0800-1200	Grid 513 380 FTX
	0800-1600	Grid 52 34
	1100-1630	Grid 499 407 FTX
III CA	1200-2400	Bateman Woods, Grid 515 395, Peachtree Crossing
T/CAD (TR02FZ)	0700-2400	Grid 402 388
8/175 Gun Btry	0800-2400	FP 714, 720, 722, 806
Arty Bd	0-01-2400	_rid 512 330

FIRING ORDER NR. 297
 For Monday 23 Jun 75, EAST RANGE

18 Jun 75

SMALL ARMS FIRING

<u>Range</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>
TF Complex I	100th S & S	0730-1630	M16

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
----------------	-------------	--------------------	------------	------------------------------	----------------

Gunnery

G031SJ	1220- 1640	510	Arbuckle Hill	105H VT HC WP	SAA
GDO2TA	1220- 1640	313E	408E, 408, 408W	155H VT HC WP	SAA

TAD

AT07DP/ AT23OP	0730- 1640	497E, 408S	408	155H TNT	NAA SAA NAA SAA
		58786 34642			
		59470 34533			
		59895 33403			
		60259 33192			
		59407 33573			
		59196 33855			
		60964 33282			
		61537 33277			
		61933 33918			
		62144 33576			
		62626 33504			
		62976 33577			
		63712 33199			
		64206 33238			
		64438 33552			
		61760 46455			
		61500 45900			
		62945 45875			
		63260 46075			

1/30

Spc	0800- 2400	347	407	8"H VT	BCA
-----	---------------	-----	-----	--------	-----

FIRING ORDER NR. 298
 For Tuesday 24 Jun 75, WEST RANGE

18 Jun 75

SMALL ARMS FIRING

<u>Range</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>
MB 1 Rifle & Pistol	4/31	1200-1800	7.62mm & 45 P
MB 2 Pistol	USMCR	0730-1630	45 P
Jones Ridge RR	USMCR	0730-1630	M14, M16
Falcon Range	AF	0800-1700	Inert Bombs

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
<u>Gunnery</u>					
G002TC	0710-1130	116N	122S, 17	155H VT HC WP	ARA
<u>T/CAD</u>					
TR02FZ	0730-2400	901*, 909, 914+, 919, 920*, 922, 923*, 930, 650S, FEB10- (3783 3388)	411395 437344 4334 to 4335	155H VT HC WP *ILLUM +Direct Fire	BBA WMHA CCA
		153E, FEB 32- (3731 4046)		105H VT HC WP	
		4149 3564		TNT	SMA
<u>III CA</u>					
Spc	1800-2400	36285 33826 35809 35729 35780 34871	170N, 171, 175, 177	8"H VT HES	CCA
Spc	0001-2400	32334 35814* 32729 36427 32881 36929	170N, 171 175, 177	8"H VT HES *ICM	QCA
<u>FAS Bde</u>					
Spc	0730-1630	228E 116N	126	105H 155H & 8"H	AGA ARA

FIRING ORDER NR. 298 (Continued)
 For Tuesday 24 Jun 75, WEST RANGE

18 Jun 75

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>8/175 Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
Spc	0001- 2400	712W 808, 810	Kerr Hill Dalv Hill	175G	QCA
<u>1/30</u>					
Spc	0001- 2400	284, 288	Indian Hill Apache R	8"H VT	AGA
<u>Artv Bd</u>					
Spc	0730- 1800	664, 604 701 803 706, 643	126	105H 155H M109a1 175G 8"H	WMHA BBA CCA RCA
<u>2/37th</u>					
Spc	0600-1800	289	Indian Hill Apache Ridge	155H	AGA
AIRLIFT INTO FP 228E					

NONFIRING ACTIVITIES

T/CAD (TRO2FZ)	1430 0001-2400	FP 922, 920 402 388
TAD (AS32EF)	0730-1640	Sta 28, 29, 30 & 89; JRA 3; Mission Ridge; & Barbwire Hill
" (AS32DB)	0730-1640	Sta Flagg, 37, 30; Grids 591512, 591404, 584415, 520408, 525395, 507395, 483415, 480410, 443413, 414409, Zoom Pratt Hill
" (AN60AB)	1420-2330	Land Navigation, Ketch Lake to South Boundary
FAS BDE	0800-1630	FP 261, 265, 262, Grid 520340
"	1900-2400	FP 215, 249 FTX
Arty Bd	0001-2400	Grid 512330

FIRING ORDER NR. 299
For Tuesday 24 Jun 75, EAST RANGE

18 Jun 75

SMALL ARMS FIRING

<u>Range</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>
TF Complex I	3/9	0630-1700	M16

ARTILLERY FIRING

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Firing Pts.</u>	<u>Ops</u>	<u>Weapon and Ammunition</u>	<u>GP Area</u>
<u>Gunnery</u>					
G031SJ	0710- 1130	510	Arbuckle Hill	105H VT HC WP	SAA
<u>WD</u>					
WCO2UP	0730- 1640	362	402	8"H VT	BCA
<u>1/30</u>					
Spc	0001- 2400	347	407	8"H VT	BCA
<u>III CA</u>					
Spc	1800- 2400	58538 34033 58320 35156 57992 35716	400E 407E BRC Potato Hill	8"H VT HES	SAA

GLOSSARY

'A' Weighting: An electronic filtering network employed in sound level meters to reduce the effect of very low and very high frequency sound on the meter so that its indication is more closely correlated with human response to sound.

Composite Noise Rating (CNR): An estimate of community response to noise in a specified area based upon estimates of corresponding sound source intensities, incidence rates, and appropriate adjustments for time of day, season of year, and expected community sensitivity to noise.

Day Night Average Sound Level (L_{dn}): The energy equivalent average sound level for a 24 hour period calculated after compensating for the increased human sensitivity to environmental noise during the nighttime by adding 10 decibels to measured nighttime (2200 - 0700 hours) sound levels.

Decibel (dB): In acoustics, the unit for describing the intensity of a sound. It is one tenth of a Bel, the unit corresponding to the logarithm (base ten) of a sound's intensity relative to that of the minimum audible sound.

Energy Equivalent Average Sound Level (L_{eq}): The sound level corresponding to the average sound energy during a specified period of time. Its calculation involves the conversion of decibels (a logarithmic quantity) to corresponding intensities (a linear quantity), performing the averaging, and finally changing the average back to decibels.

Noise: An undesirable sound, either unwanted or detrimental to human hearing or activity.

Percentile Sound Level (L_{10} , L_{50} , etc.): The sound level exceeded a specified percentage of the time during a measurement period .

Sound: In air, any pattern of air particle oscillation which propagates through the atmosphere.

Sound Level (dBA, etc.): A measurement of sound amplitude, expressed in decibels, obtained with a standard sound level meter employing 'A', 'B', or 'C' frequency weighting (ANSI S1.4 - 1971) for attenuating part of the sound spectrum. If the frequency weighting employed is not indicated, 'A' weighting is implied.

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA <i>(Please read instructions on the reverse before completing)</i>		
1. REPORT NO. EPA-906/9-75-002	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Environmental Noise Assessment Lawton, Oklahoma	5. REPORT DATE April 1976	
	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S) Robert M. LaBreche Michael L. Mendias	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS City of Lawton Planning Dept., Lawton, Oklahoma in cooperation with U.S. EPA, Region VI, Air and Hazardous Materials Division Dallas, Texas 75270	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Same as above	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report	
	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
16. ABSTRACT Using a simple methodology employing inexpensive equipment as described in this report, Lawton, Oklahoma, assessed environmental noise levels in their community during the summer of 1975. They measured equivalent sound levels at eleven sites within the city and identified major sources of noise. The results showed only two areas in Lawton with probable adverse noise impacts, both in the vicinity of local airfields. Small aircraft, automobiles, and helicopters were the most prevalent sources of intrusive environmental noises. Jet aircraft were the most intense.		
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS		
a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
Community Noise Urban Noise Surveys Noise Measurements Noise Surveys	Equivalent Sound Level Lawton, Oklahoma Fort Sill, Oklahoma	
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Release unlimited, see availability on inside front cover.	19. SECURITY CLASS (<i>This Report</i>) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES
	20. SECURITY CLASS (<i>This page</i>)	22. PRICE